

ID		COMMENT
<i>CR NPQ 1</i>	<i>LIKE</i>	EASY ACCESS TO DORCHESTER & POOLE TRAINS TO LONDON CLOSE TO COAST & COUNTRYSIDE GOOD SELECTION OF LOCAL SHOPS
<i>CR NPQ 1</i>	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	INSUFFICIENT INFRASTRUCTURE NOT ENOUGH DOCTORS
<i>CR NPQ 1</i>	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	MORE DOCTORS BETTER BUS SERVICE MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING GREEN SPACES & CHILDRENS PLAY AREA
<i>CR NPQ 1</i>	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	NO SECOND HOMES
<i>CR NPQ 2</i>	<i>LIKE</i>	Quiet Rural Villages
<i>CR NPQ 2</i>	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	NOT ENOUGH BUSES NOT ENOUGH PLAY PARKS FOR CHILDREN
<i>CR NPQ 2</i>	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	MORE BUSES
<i>CR NPQ 2</i>	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	NO MORE HOUSES, There is only just enough SERVICES to go round the people we have now.
<i>WPC NPQ 1</i>	<i>LIKE</i>	Community feeling local groups, hall and people make it a nice place to live
<i>WPC NPQ 1</i>	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	No buses or public transport Taxis are too expensive The lights go out when its dark Weeds & verges not cleared
<i>WPC NPQ 1</i>	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Bovington needs to be involved more, create better walkways to make it seem like we are together. Supermarket, no more little shops that you can't park at
<i>WPC NPQ 1</i>	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	1000 houses. Development needs to be sympathetic to the area. No more "Nimbys" we want people to be part of the community and not here for a view, less of Steve Smith – joking!

WPC NPQ 2	<i>LIKE</i>	Reasonably quiet, peaceful village Friendly people (most speak!) River(s), woods, fields, wildlife Sheep
WPC NPQ 2	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Road system:- level crossing, ridiculous traffic calming by Spar Ugly Purbeck Gate complex Untidy sites give a poor impression:- rear of Williams Baker, café opposite Station Garage Inadequate medical centre
WPC NPQ 2	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Wool by-pass – before any additional houses are built Preserve current village ethos, more visible police presence and road speed checks
WPC NPQ 2	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	WOOL MUST NOT BECOME A TOWN Loss of open fields, “country’ environment Anti-social behaviour as a result of new builds
WPC NPQ 3	<i>LIKE</i>	FEASIBLE POPULATION FOR THE EXISTING FACILITIES (JUST), NOT FAR FROM SOME NICE PLACES
WPC NPQ 3	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	TRAFFIC, DANGEROUS MAIN ROAD LACK OF INFRASTRUCTURE
WPC NPQ 3	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	IMPROVEMENT TO INFRASTRUCTURE TO THE BENEFIT OF RESIDENTS REDUCTION/STOPPAGE OF DANGEROUS TRAFFIC ON THE MAIN ROAD (DORCHESTER ROAD) RESPECT FOR THE RESIDENTS WHO PAY COUNCIL TAX REDUCTION IN CRIME, A POLICE PRESENCE
WPC NPQ 3	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	ANY MAJOR BUILDING PROJECTS NO IMPROVEMENT TO INFRASTRUCTURE, NO REDUCTION IN TRAFFIC UNCONTROLLED IMMIGRATION MORE CRIME
WPC NPQ 4	<i>LIKE</i>	Friendly Fairly quiet Lovely walks near by

WPC NPQ 4	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Too many cars & caravans etc allowed to park in the front of homes. Poor pavements & road surfaces Too few amenities for the number of people & homes Delays at railway crossing
WPC NPQ 4	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	A gym Another doctors surgery A by-pass over main railway crossing More trees along local side roads A good supermarket near by
WPC NPQ 4	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Too many new homes without the infrastructure mentioned above Where are people going to work? Park? Exercise? etc Think :- "obese youngsters!!!"
WPC NPQ 5	<i>LIKE</i>	QUIET VILLAGE WITH GOOD SHOPPING
WPC NPQ 5	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	RAILWAY GATES POOR BUS SERVICE TRACTORS USING COLLIERS LANE
WPC NPQ 5	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	BUS SERVICE TO DORCHESTER BRIDGE FOR CARS TO CROSS RAILWAY LINE
WPC NPQ 5	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	WOOL BEING DEVELOPED FROM VILLAGE TO SMALLTOWN WITHOUT ADDITIONAL FACILITIES
WPC NPQ 6	<i>LIKE</i>	QUITE HAPPY TO LIVE HERE AT BOVINGTON IF ONLY WE HAD TRANSPORT
WPC NPQ 6	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	THE TERRIBLE EAST BURTON ROAD – 16 BUMPS & LUMPS DON'T DO CARS ANY GOOD AT ALL NO BUSES DON'T DO CAR
WPC NPQ 6	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	(BOVINGTON) MUCH TIDIER FRONT GARDENS, SOMEWHERE FOR CHILDREN TO PLAY, HEDGES CUT BACK, MORE LITTER BINS (WE HAVE NONE ACTUALLY) A "VILLAGE TIDY" WOULD BE NICE AGAIN.
WPC NPQ 6	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	

WPC NPQ 7	<i>LIKE</i>	A village which has a lot of history, conveniently situated with main line railway. A rural village surrounded by good farming land, river and woodlands.
WPC NPQ 7	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The increasing volume of traffic passing through the village and over railway crossing. Lack of useful village hall not giving people a chance to book the hall on a weekday evening which is currently not possible due to block bookings. Inconsiderate and dangerous parking in Colliers Lane and Spring Street particularly at school arrival and leaving times.
WPC NPQ 7	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Better traffic control at station, clearer signage to stop traffic approaching from East & coming right over the line, dangerously crossing the through traffic, make it clearer that the road from West & way left over the crossing has the right of way. Restricted development favouring affordable housing but keeping it to a manageable size so as not to lose its 'village' heritage. Increased medical facilities so that the accessibility to a doctor is quicker. Removal of pinch points in High Street and Lulworth Road which are dangerous due to misuse by motorists – To reduce speed 'Sleeping Policemen' would cut speed.
WPC NPQ 7	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Overdevelopment of village using green fields impacting on all the infrastructure, increasing pressure on surgery, schools and other village facilities. Even more traffic passing through the village, using village facilities congesting areas already overstretched.
WPC NPQ 8	<i>LIKE</i>	The handy shops, doctors surgery, and Village Hall.
WPC NPQ 8	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The poorly kept side roads in Wool. Litter and dog poo in field.
WPC NPQ 8	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	TRAFFIC LIGHTS AT Level Crossing in Wool. There have been too many accidents, and near accidents, OR better signs, and road markings I would also welcome more flowers and prettying up. How about WOOL in BLOOM.
WPC NPQ 8	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Too much development, without road improvements.
WPC NPQ 9	<i>LIKE</i>	Cleanliness, friendship and in the countryside but not far from the coast.

WPC NPQ 9	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The lack of bus transport which we are told to use but can't as no bus service to Dorchester. The doctors always send you for treatment to Dorchester hospital but there is no bus. We want a bus that goes straight through (Wool, Broadmayne, Dorchester) as if unwell you can't travel far. A bus in the morning for appointments and some in the afternoon and early evening for visiting. When on pension you can only afford one trip on the train. Treatment is usually 2 or 3 times a week.
WPC NPQ 9	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	A bus service to Dorchester Another doctors surgery as ours is too busy and it is a job to get an appointment
WPC NPQ 9	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Blocks of flats.
WPC NPQ 10	<i>LIKE</i>	WOOL HAS MOST FACILITIES, SHOPS, HAIRDRESSERS, PUBS, TRAIN, BUSES, CHEMIST DELI ETC
WPC NPQ 10	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	TRAFFIC. LACK OF PUBLIC TOILETS. FOOTPATH & PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE.
WPC NPQ 10	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	MORE DOCTORS. THE WATERCRESS BED TURNED INTO EDUCATIONAL/REC AREA. A RESIDENT POLICEMAN A LOO!
WPC NPQ 10	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	ANY DEVELOPMENT THAT IS IN THE CATCHMENT OF THE WOOL STREAM. ANY DEVELOPMENT THAT HAS INADEQUATE PARKING OFF ROAD.
WPC NPQ 11	<i>LIKE</i>	The village feel – Wool Sense of community Clubs/shops Beauty of area Woodland unspoilt Central access from Dorset & rest of UK Train/road links Access to Lulworth

WPC NPQ 11 *DON'T LIKE*

Railway crossing – (busy times)
Parking in and around Spa shop
Lack of restaurant
Nice to see 'Wool in Bloom'
Ugly railway station
The buses are inadequate and should be cheap.

WPC NPQ 11 *WOULD LIKE*

- 1) Pave the way in better public transport in Dorset! Or cycle lanes.
- 2) Do more for the environment
- 3) Make the village prettier with Wool in bloom
- 4) Heritage centre
- 5) Better Christmas display
- 6) More community events

WPC NPQ 11 *WOULDN'T LIKE*

Any more traffic!!
Unattractive new build houses
5G or other phone masts!

WPC NPQ 12 *LIKE*

COMMUNITY
OPEN SPACE, FRESH AIR, WILDLIFE & SCENERY
LACK OF SERIOUS CRIME
THE FEELING OF BEING A VILLAGE, OF BELONGING

WPC NPQ 12 *DON'T LIKE*

SPEED & VOLUME OF TRAFFIC THROUGH THE VILLAGE
LACK OF N.H.S. DENTIST
OVERCROWDING AT DOCTORS

- WPC NPQ 12** *WOULD LIKE* A FULL SET OF SERVICES – POLICE, FIRE, AMBULANCE, DOCTORS & DENTIST (NHS)
HOUSING FOR THOSE WITH LINKS TO THE VILLAGE SUPPLIED BY THE COUNCIL OR HOUSING ASSOC. ESPECIALLY FOR THE YOUNGER DISABLED AND WHEELCHAIR USERS.
LONGER/MORE FREQUENT OPENING OF THE LIBRARY
MORE EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES – PROPER, WELL PAID JOBS NOT JUST ZERO HOURS & MINIMUM PAY.
TRAFFIC CALMING COMBINED WITH A BY-PASS
THE FIELD BEHIND THE CHURCH TO BECOME COMMUNITY SPACE AN ARTS & CRAFTS CENTRE AND GALLERY
- WPC NPQ 12** *WOULDN'T LIKE* HOLIDAY/WEEKEND HOUSING OR DORMITORY HOUSING AND ASSOCIATED COMMUTING
DISABLED/ELDERLY EXCLUDED BY STAIRS + STEPS
OUT OF TOWN SHOPPING DEVELOPMENT
MORE OVERCROWDING OF EXISTING SERVICES DR'S/SCHOOL/TRAIN
- WPC NPQ 13** *LIKE* The facilities on offer- the shops, PO, Pubs, hairdressers, surgery, dentist, schools etc, churches & chapel.
The friendliness of the people the activities on offer, the bus/train service.
Also the history of the village and the playing fields, childrens playground.
- WPC NPQ 13** *DONT LIKE* The build up of traffic around the PO/Spar and Railway crossing at school times.
Behaviour of some of the younger people in the evenings around the open spaces.
- WPC NPQ 13** *WOULD LIKE* Some affordable houses for young local people to encourage them to remain in the village.
Maybe some film shows at the D'Urb & not just for the Film Festival.
A quiet green space with seats away from the traffic for the elderly & not so mobile.
A small tea shop would be welcomed.
- WPC NPQ 13** *WOULDN'T LIKE* Masses more new large houses and a ban on large caravans and tradesmans vans parked on public roads and car parks overnight.
- WPC NPQ 14** *LIKE* Has all that I need – and love it
Love the wildlife

WPC NPQ 14 <i>DON'T LIKE</i>	No public toilets Railway congestion – traffic control Lack of police- Lulworth road pathway- Monkey World pathway-
WPC NPQ 14 <i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Footpaths – + upkeep of footpaths. More wildlife
WPC NPQ 14 <i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	MORE HOUSES just say NO DEAD ANIMALS More traffic – More Rubbish – Due to Houses No Parking
WPC NPQ 15 <i>LIKE</i>	People are friendly. We've got a variety of shops inc butcher, a baker & a candlestickmaker in the shape of Amber Hardware! We have two schools, four churches + a library.
WPC NPQ 15 <i>DON'T LIKE</i>	No bank. Roads that need resurfacing Bad 'calming' measures.
WPC NPQ 15 <i>WOULD LIKE</i>	More seating along roadsides More rubbish bins
WPC NPQ 15 <i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	The house building opposite Purbeck Gate on the Dorchester Rd.
WPC NPQ 16 <i>LIKE</i>	I like the fact we can still be classed as a large village in Wool but with all the proposed new housing we will be a small town, Wool will lose a lot of it's identity. There is a good balance of every day needs here, shops, Dr's Dentist, all the new housing will swamp the local residents.
WPC NPQ 16 <i>DON'T LIKE</i>	There is nothing I don't like about these areas, why spoil something that is good.

- WPC NPQ 16** *WOULD LIKE* If all the proposed housing goes ahead how will the surgery cope, so therefore a new surgery or an extension on the existing one at least and more full time Dr's to cope. We have nice playing areas for children how about something for gentle exercise and workout for the elderly who want to keep fit in an outside area.
A bus running down the high st to Dorchester a couple of days a week would be good for us older residents.
More one bedroom properties for the elderly so they could move from their larger properties. Flats would be fine with a lift and communal gardens and near amenities
- WPC NPQ 16** *WOULDN'T LIKE* I wouldn't like to see Wool swamped with new homes that the infrastructure here can't cope with.
- WPC NPQ 17** *LIKE* Generally peaceful and quiet.
Good road and rail links.
Satisfactory infrastructure, including shopping.
- WPC NPQ 17** *DONT LIKE* A352 and speeding vehicles (calming measures, at least, should be put in)
Pollution from Lorries, tanks etc (noise and fumes) Our young should be protected
- WPC NPQ 17** *WOULD LIKE* A better age cross section and facilities to attract them (affordable housing, buy and rent)
NOT high rise flats.
Clubs, Library improved, outside spaces for the young.
- WPC NPQ 17** *WOULDN'T LIKE* Properties over one story high.
More elderly people. They place too much reliance on the NHS and other facilities (I speak as an elderly person myself)
- WPC NPQ 18** *LIKE* THE SENSE OF COMMUNITY, VILLAGE ATMOSPHERE, COUNTRY SURROUNDINGS & SCENERY, NEIGHBOURLY ATMOSPHERE.
PEACE & QUIET
- WPC NPQ 18** *DONT LIKE* NOT ENOUGH THINGS FOR YOUNG ADULTS TO DO, THUS CREATING SOME ISSUES OF ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR.
TRAFFIC CONGESTION AT THE RAILWAY CROSSING BY WOOL STATION
- WPC NPQ 18** *WOULD LIKE* BETTER BUS SERVICE DURING THE WINTER/ALL YEAR
IMPROVED FACILITIES AT WOOL STATION
MORE POLICE PRESENCE

- WPC NPQ 18** *WOULDN'T LIKE* HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS THAT DON'T HAVE INCREASED INFRASTRUCTURE TO COPE WITH MORE PEOPLE & MORE VEHICLES.
EXPENSIVE HOUSING LOCALS CAN'T AFFORD, OR SECOND HOMES.
BIG SUPERMARKETS.
1 MILE LONG QUEUES OF TRAFFIC WAITING AT THE RAILWAY GATES AS A RESULT OF INCREASE OF RESIDENTS NUMBERS.
- WPC NPQ 19** *LIKE* WHAT WE LIKE ABOUT WOOL, BOVINGTON & EAST BURTON IS THAT THEY ARE 3 INDIVIDUAL VILLAGES, WITH SEPARATE IDENTITIES, THAT HAVE NOT BEEN JOINED TOGETHER BY LARGE HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS THAT WOULD HAVE THE POTENTIAL OF MERGING THEM TOGETHER INTO WHAT COULD POTENTIALLY BECOME A SMALL TOWN. THEY HAVE FRIENDLY VILLAGE FEEL ABOUT THEM TOO.
- WPC NPQ 19** *DON'T LIKE* WE FEAR THAT DORSET COUNCIL SEES WOOL AS THE OBVIOUS CHOICE TO MEET IT'S TARGET OF NEW PROPERTY BUILDS. THIS IS PARTLY BECAUSE WE HAVE A TRAIN STATION AND A PARISH COUNCIL THAT DOESN'T CARE. WE HAVE LIVED IN VILLAGE SINCE 1994 AND I THINK WE ARE DEFINITELY RUNNING THE RISK OF LOOSING THE VILLAGE AND SEEING IT BECOMING A SMALL TOWN WITHOUT SUFFICIENT INFORSTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT THIS.
LOSS OF GREEN SPACE – NOT GOOD FOR WELLBEING.
- WPC NPQ 19** *WOULD LIKE* A MUCH MORE ROBUST PARISH COUNCIL SUPPORTING AND PROTECTING OUR VILLAGE FROM BEING RAIL ROADED INTO UNNESSESARY DEVELOPMENT.
TO PROTECT OUR GREEN NATURAL BOUNDARIES TO CONTINUE WITH THE VILLAGES UNIQUENESS & INDIVIDUAL IDENTITIES.
- WPC NPQ 19** *WOULDN'T LIKE* UN-NESESARY MULITI DEVELOPMENT
ENDLESS TRAFFIC JAMS – GRID LOCKED ROADS – DIFFICULT COMMUTES TO WORK. MORE HOLIDAY HOMES & HOUSES STANDING EMPTY & UNSOLD.
LOST OF VILLAGE INDIVIDUALITY.

- WPC NPQ 20** *LIKE* I like the rural setting with the surrounding countryside.
Also the fact that Wool village has many facilities including shops, schools, doctor's surgery and reasonable rail links to Weymouth, Poole, Bournemouth etc.
2 pubs serving good food.
- WPC NPQ 20** *DON'T LIKE* Speeding traffic though the village particularly along Station Road, High Street and Bindon Lane.
I have had near misses as a pedestrian crossing the road to the Methodist Church and outside the Black Bear by vehicles speeding round the corner opposite Bindon Lane.
Also speeding traffic including large tractors along Bindon Lane. The lane is also used as a rat run when the level crossing is closed
- WPC NPQ 20** *WOULD LIKE* 20mph speed limit across the entire village apart from Dorchester Road which should remain at 30mph.
A by pass including bridge over the railway to the west of Wool.
- WPC NPQ 20** *WOULDN'T LIKE* Large housing development which would adversely affect the rural nature of the parish. I have concerns that Purbeck uses Wool as the place where they want to put the bulk of the development.
I would not like to see any development that would put extra pressure on the doctors surgery or schools.
I would not want to see an out of town supermarket in the parish which would impact on traffic levels and existing shops.
Nothing that increases the current traffic levels.
- WPC NPQ 21** *LIKE* Amenities – shops, surgery, library & pubs in walking distances.
Children's playground, Schools and Churches in Wool.
A friendly village feel. Good amenities for the size of the village.
- WPC NPQ 21** *DON'T LIKE* Combination of traffic & railway crossing on main road in Summertime
Lack of bus routes, especially at night/evening.
- WPC NPQ 21** *WOULD LIKE* A shop selling wool & craft material
Controlled development to include starter homes which are truly affordable for locals.
Better amenities e.g. medical centre, a by-pass.

WPC NPQ 21	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Another charity shop Large unplanned expansion of housing. Large numbers of second home owners. Developments of 3 / 4 bedroom houses only. Would not want Wool to become a dormitory town.</p>
WPC NPQ 22	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Living in a village. Good shops & services – SPAR, Pubs, friendly people. D'Urberville Centre, lots going on. Railway Station Wellbridge Health Centre. Countryside walks.</p>
WPC NPQ 22	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Speeding traffic round the village of Wool but especially Bindon Lane</p>
WPC NPQ 22	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>In Wool 20mph speed limit An improved bus service Buses on a Saturday!</p>
WPC NPQ 22	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>New housing developments</p>
WPC NPQ 23	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>THEY ARE FAIRLY QUIET RURAL COMMUNITIES. PEOPLE ARE FRIENDLY. WE HAVE MOST OF OUR NEEDS WELL-CATERED FOR BY WAY OF LOCAL SHOPS.</p>
WPC NPQ 23	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>NOT ENOUGH PUBLIC TRANSPORT. DIFFICULT TO GET APPOINTMENTS AT SURGERY.</p>
WPC NPQ 23	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>NO MORE HOUSES BUILT. MORE BUSES. LATE-NIGHT PHARMACY. ALSO LIKE TO SEE A LAUNDRETTE.</p>
WPC NPQ 23	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>ANY MORE HOUSES.</p>
WPC NPQ 24	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Centrally located, convenient place to live for train travel. Friendly, neighbourhood community. Every amenity to suit all families.</p>
WPC NPQ 24	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Not enough of the amenities to suit the ever-growing village. Only one SPAR, with no room to park. Drs surgery under-staffed and unable to cope with growing population. More and more traffic passing through causing hold-ups at the level crossing.</p>

- WPC NPQ 24 *WOULD LIKE* A much needed supermarket with ample parking.
Possible an area for food chain such as McDonald's or KFC for the younger generation who will be living in the new houses.
Possibly a flyover to alleviate the amount of traffic being held up at the station. And more parking at/or near train station.
- WPC NPQ 24 *WOULDN'T LIKE* No new housing estates without all the amenities to cope with the amount of people / parking / traffic.
- WPC NPQ 25 *LIKE* Village atmosphere
Rural surrounds
Green spaces – giddy green
playing fields
mixture of houses – expensive – less expensive
- WPC NPQ 25 *DON'T LIKE* Litter
No wild flowers on verges
Cheap builds – ie Purbeck Gate estate
Scruffy areas – Woolbridge café – looks unkempt
- WPC NPQ 25 *WOULD LIKE* Green space – kept as green space
Flowers wild flower planting
Litter picks regularly by round especially around Bovington & rail station
Houses built with bricks!
Library open more hours – even better new building.
- WPC NPQ 25 *WOULDN'T LIKE* Green spaces lost to building
Cheap builds like Purbeck Gate – houses built with bricks!! Are much better.
- WPC NPQ 26 *LIKE* CONVENIENT RAIL
POST OFFICE
- WPC NPQ 26 *DON'T LIKE* LITTER!!
SPEEDING TRAFFIC
WEEDS IN ROAD GUTTERS
EXCESSIVE NOISE FROM MILITARY EXERCISES.

WPC NPQ 26	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	20 MPH LIMIT RESEDENTIAL ROADS? MORE LITTER & DOGWASTE BINS. BETTER MAINTENANCE OF LAND – JUNCTION KNOWLEWOOD KNAPP / LOWER HILLSIDE RD (HOUSING ASSOC?!) IMPROVED SURFACE – FOOTBALL PITCH.
WPC NPQ 26	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	MORE TAKEAWAY EATING – LITTER!
WPC NPQ 27	<i>LIKE</i>	The Village Community. The fact Wool particularly has remained an Independent Village. The openness of the Woods and fields. Good schools Good shops, everything you need in a Village.
WPC NPQ 27	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Heavy traffic and queues, particularly at the Railway Crossing which will only get worse. The pressure of the developments hanging over us all. Having to wait for appointments at the Doctors, Lovely Surgery just very busy.
WPC NPQ 27	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	A bypass around the village. Road markings & road surfaces that are desperately in need of updating and repainting. The occasional Policeman walking the beat. More activities for the youngsters of Wool. Low cost homes for Locals.
WPC NPQ 27	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Hundreds of ugly new houses built on Agricultural land. More second homes and a 65 bed care home. More traffic and longer queues at the Railway crossing. Longer queues at the Doctors which all these new homes will Create.
WPC NPQ 28	<i>LIKE</i>	I like that it still retains a village atmosphere.
WPC NPQ 28	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The increase in traffic causing disruption at the level crossing and the stupid arrangements of traffic calming between the CofE School and the station.
WPC NPQ 28	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	An end to the building on the periphery of the village which is causing a 'them & us' feel. If extra buildings are imposed, then sufficient facilities such as schools and doctors must follow.
WPC NPQ 28	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	An attempt to make a separate 'hub' for the village.

- WPC NPQ 29** *LIKE* The variety of the easily accessible surrounding countryside within walking distance of home.
Having a railway station.
- WPC NPQ 29** *DONT LIKE* The continual threat of unnecessary house building
All we need are a few affordable homes for local people & no second homes!
- WPC NPQ 29** *WOULD LIKE* More trees along Dorchester Rd especially along by Purbeck Gate & across the road
More trees round the level crossing & Hyde Place to enhance the area.
- WPC NPQ 29** *WOULDN'T LIKE* Unnecessary house building which would clog up our roads even further especially in the summer.
The urbanisation of the area.
- WPC NPQ 30** *LIKE* Living in a rural village by choice not a TOWN!!
Neighbourhood community
Having a local library still running.
Well done.
Local shops.
- WPC NPQ 30** *DONT LIKE* The Doctors Surgery + local chemist, are struggling to provide a satisfactory service to it's residents.
Anti social behaviour around the skate park + D'Urberville Centre.
Amount of traffic through our village.
- WPC NPQ 30** *WOULD LIKE* Great idea having new picnic benches at the Play Park
It's nice to see that the D'Urberville Hall is being updated & looked after more (well done!)
It would be nice to see further updates and modernising
- WPC NPQ 30** *WOULDN'T LIKE* No more 3 Storey Buildings!!
Lots more new builds that come without much needed infrastructure to support.
More traffic passing through the village.

WPC NPQ 31 *LIKE*

COMMUNITY, VILLAGE NOT TOO LARGE.
PLEASANT PUBS AND LEGION
EASY ACCESS TO PLEASANT WALKS & COAST.
TRAINS.
THE SHOPS CATER FOR IMMEDIATE NEEDS, ESPECIALLY THE DELI

WPC NPQ 31 *DON'T LIKE*

SPEED OF THE TRAFFIC THROUGH THE VILLAGE.
HEDGES SPREADING OVER THE BOUNDARYS AND EITHER BLOCKING OR NARROWING
FOOTPATHS.
DROPPING OF RUBBISH
INCONSIDERATE DOG OWNERS
PARKING ON DOUBLE YELLOW LINES, ESPECIALLY AT THE TRIANGLE NEAR HARDWARE
SHOP.
PEOPLE HAVE RECENTLY STARTED TP PARK ON PAVEMENTS.
PEOPLE WALKING ON THE ROAD ALONG CHALK PIT LANE RATHER THAN PAVEMENT.
OVER FLOWING BINS ARE A FREQUENT PROBLEM, RUBBISH BLOWING AROUND AS IT
WON'T FIT IN.

WPC NPQ 31 *WOULD LIKE*

FOOTPATHS ALONG LULWORTH ROAD.
FITNESS EQUIPMENT FOR ADULTS, AS PROMISED.
HOUSES/FLATS THAT ARE TRULY AFFORDABLE FOR PEOPLE ON A LOCAL WAGE AND STAY
AFFORDABLE.
SIGNS TO ENCOURAGE DRIVERS TO TURN OFF ENGINES AT THE RAIL CROSSING.

WPC NPQ 31 *WOULDN'T LIKE*

HOUSES FOR YET MORE HOLIDAY OR SECOND HOMES. BUILDINGS SHOULD BE WHAT IS
REQUIRED BY LOCALS
A VERY LARGE CARE HOME IS NOT NEEDED HERE AND PEOPLE SHOULDN'T BE MOVED TO
WOOL OUT OF THE AREA WHERE THEIR FRIENDS ARE.

WPC NPQ 32 *LIKE*

I like the fact that Wool has all the local services that it needs at the present time, and that
it still feels like a village. Because change has been gradual growth has been proportional
and appropriate to need.

WPC NPQ 32 *DON'T LIKE*

I don't like the way Wool is being threatened by unwanted and unneeded expensive houses that will end up being second houses and destroy village life and a biodiverse environment. I don't like the traffic congestion that will only increase with an unnecessary development.

WPC NPQ 32 *WOULD LIKE*

Wool must have a primary residency requested on all new build houses (St Ives ruling) and we must have at least 40% genuinely affordable housing in any new development. Traffic congestion, pollution and environmental destruction caused by development must be mitigated and infrastructure must be provided.

WPC NPQ 32 *WOULDN'T LIKE*

New houses built which will simply be a dormitory for Poole and Bournemouth contributing nothing to local community and increasing traffic congestion. I am very concerned about the disruption the proposed new building will impact at road congestion.

WPC NPQ 33 *LIKE*

Open fields, all the wildlife from deer, badgers, rabbits, sheep, foxes, birds ie buzzards, swallows, swifts, skylarks, partridge, pheasants, bats & butterflies, sadly no cows.

WPC NPQ 33 *DONT LIKE*

Dangerous junction at the station, rat run at East Burton from cars & cyclists, rat run from Burton Cross up to Maggot Woods road, people drive like idiots far too fast cars & tractor drivers.

Not enough parking at the station.

Queuing for train, some roads need maintenance in some areas. Trying to get appointment at doctors.

WPC NPQ 33 *WOULD LIKE*

Doctors need to be bigger or more staff, always helpful receptionist but under pressure to get people in.

30 mph on East Burton Road

30 mph at Burton Cross

Better parking at train station.

Cows in fields not in sheds like up at Winfrith.

NHS dentist.

WPC NPQ 33 *WOULDN'T LIKE*

Excess housing.
Extra traffic.
Sewage problems from building on flooded land.
Wool can't cope with what we have now without adding these extras, housing people, traffic.

WPC NPQ 34 *LIKE*

I LIKE THE FACT THAT IN SPITE OF ALL THE BUILDING AND DEVELOPMENT THAT HAS TAKEN PLACE OVER HE LAST FEW YEARS WOOL HAS RETAINED A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF ITS 'VILLAGE' CHARACTER. IT REMAINS TO BE SEEN WHETHER IT CAN RETAIN ITS COMMUNITY FEELING, BUT ITS SCHOOLS AND CHURCHES AND MORE THAN ADEQUATE SHOPS AND PUBS – ALL ENJOYED BY A SURPRISINGLY VARIED DEMOGRAPHIC IN TERMS OF AGE MEAN THAT WOOL IS A PROPER WORKING, FAMILY BASED VILLAGE, THAT IT IS SITUATED IN A BEAUTIFUL AREA IS OF COURSE A BONUS.

WPC NPQ 34 *DON'T LIKE*

THE SHEER AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC COMING THROUGH WOOL IS INEVITABLE, AS IS THE GROWTH OF TRAFFIC AND CAR OWNERSHIP IN THIS VILLAGE – THE PROBLEMS OF CONGESTION AND POLLUTION AND EXCESSIVE SPEEDING ALL NEED TO BE ADDRESSED. I DON'T LIKE THE FEELING THAT DORSET COUNCIL (AND PREVIOUSLY, PURBECK DC) SEEM TO REGARD THE FEELING AND WISHES ANF FEARS OF THE COMMUNITY – ALL CLEARLY EXPRESSED – AS IMMATERIAL.

WPC NPQ 34 *WOULD LIKE*

WOOL MUST HAVE A PRIMARY RESIDENCY REQUIREMENT (AKA ST IVES RULING) APPLIED TO ALL NEW BUILD. THIS POLICY IS ABSOLUTELY VITAL IF WOOL IS TO REMAIN AS A COMMUNITY.
SECONDLY A MINIMUM OF 40% OF ALL NEW BUILD MUST BE GENUINELY AFFORDABLE, AND SUCH PROPERTY BE COVENANTED TO ENSURE THAT IT REMAINS AFFORDABLE.
I'S LIKE TO SEE LOCAL HOUSING FOR LOCAL PEOPLE BEING BUILT ON THE BASIS OF NEED NOT GREED.

- WPC NPQ 34** *WOULDN'T LIKE* THE DESTRUCTION BIODIVERSITY, ORGANIC GREEN FIELDS, INCREASED TRAFFIC CONGESTION AND POLLUTION – ALL OF WHICH WILL INEVITABLY BE THE RESULT OF UNCONSTRAINED DEVELOPMENT.
I DO NOT WANT WOOL'S VILLAGE FEEL TO BE DIMINISHED BY BECOMING A DORMATORY FOR BOURNEMOUTH & POOLE.
WE HAVE BEEN TOLD REPEATEDLY THAT 470 IS A MAXIMUM – I WOULD NOT WISH TO SEE THE COMMUNITY BEING FURTHER LET DOWN AND DECEIVED BY THIS NUMBER BEING EXCEEDED.
- WPC NPQ 35** *LIKE* We enjoy being able to walk in the fields around the village of E Burton and Wool. We enjoy the peace and quiet and the fact it's not usually busy – a bit more in the summer with visitors.
- WPC NPQ 35** *DON'T LIKE* 1) We are disturbed by the hooting of the trains approaching "Darkies footpath crossing", especially early morning and late evening, and while being in the garden during the day.
- WPC NPQ 35** *WOULD LIKE* 1) The closing of the railway crossing known as "Darkies" in E Burton
2) Residents of E Burton Lane should cut back hedges so the pavement can be used.
3) The uneven surface of the pavement (E Burton Road, as above) could be improved.
4) A few more lights put in E Burton road.
5) Continue pavement in E Burton rd past Birch Point bungalow to left turn into Burton rd around the corner in front of village hall to join Sandhills Cres pavement
- WPC NPQ 35** *WOULDN'T LIKE* 1) Don't want the ambiance of the Village changed by increasing the population with the proposed development of 4 sites in Wool & E Burton. The lanes are too narrow to take increased traffic, and we don't want supermarkets built to supply increased demand.
2) We don't want increased population, traffic, noise, air pollution.
3) The crescent in E Burton, Sandhills Cres is too small to be used as a through road, existing residents would be severely affected, adversely, and also residents in the other areas next to proposed sites.
Build a new residential area separate from existing villages ie like Poundbury.
- WPC NPQ 36** *LIKE* THE SURROUNDING COUNTRYSIDE.

WPC NPQ 36	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	THE PROBLEMS AT THE STATION. NO BY-PASS.
WPC NPQ 36	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	AN IMPROVED SURGERY. A BY-PASS.
WPC NPQ 36	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	A SUPERMARKET. NO MORE SUPER HOUSING ESTATES, STOP NOW BEFORE WOOL BECOMES A TOWN.
WPC NPQ 37	<i>LIKE</i>	I was born in Wool and grew up in East Burton, so both mean a lot to me. The highlight of the year for my family was the walk to Wool on the Saturday of Wool fair it seemed so far away. I still live in Wool and wouldn't want to live anywhere else.
WPC NPQ 37	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Too many people it is getting more like a town everyday and not enough facilities to go with it.
WPC NPQ 37	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	More sport to keep the young ones active in the right way. A cricket team, Hockey team, Tennis Courts perhaps it would stop the vandalism by some elements in the village.
WPC NPQ 37	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More of these awful houses like the ones at Purbeck Gate, they look really Tatty and they haven't been there that long. If we have to have more please build proper red brick houses which look far nicer.
WPC NPQ 38	<i>LIKE</i>	They are villages in the countryside which enjoy open space, flora and fauna which deliver the 'feel-good' factor.
WPC NPQ 38	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	1. More large building areas. It takes away our character and we become a suburban estate. 2. Since Purbeck Gate was built, the village surgery has become overwhelmed. It now takes 2 ½ weeks to obtain a non-urgent appointment, longer if you want to see your own doctor.
WPC NPQ 38	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	1. Small housing within the current Wool boundary. 2. Local people on the housing register to have a priority whenever new housing is built, at an affordable rent. 3. More doctors in the surgery. 4. A reduction in barrier time at Wool level crossing.

- WPC NPQ 38** *WOULDN'T LIKE* 1. More development on the hillside opposite Purbeck Gate, currently it is fitting for a rural area, seeing houses tower above the road would be an eyesore.
2. Who is going to live here? More retirees ▀ more stress on the surgery. There are no jobs available to support a new large community. If they commute our already congested Dorchester Road/crossing will be horrendous.
- WPC NPQ 39** *LIKE* It is well balanced at the moment with a central recreation park, surgery, shops, pubs, churches, Good bus and train service.
- WPC NPQ 39** *DON'T LIKE*
WPC NPQ 39 *WOULD LIKE* Small developments, traditionally built by local Developers who would take more pride in their workmanship.
Only build at a rate of housing that is required by locals the young, working people and elderly.
Present infrastructure would probably be able to cope.
- WPC NPQ 39** *WOULDN'T LIKE* Large scale developments.
No second home built.
This would completely unbalance the village.
The people would have travel far away for work, completely against the governments eco friendly targets.
- WPC NPQ 40** *LIKE* . The size of it –that is a village and not a town.
. It's closeness to coast & countryside
. Road & rail links
. For a village it has good facilities & shops.
- WPC NPQ 40** *DON'T LIKE* . Lack of frequent reliable public transport.
(not a Parish Council issue I know)
. Waiting time a level crossing.
. Speeding traffic on East Burton Rd + on High Street/Lulworth Rd – particularly of concern as some parts of these have no foot paths.

- WPC NPQ 40 *WOULD LIKE*
- . Traffic calming on East Burton Rd + High Street/Lulworth Rd – even possible 20mph between station + C of E School.
 - . Pedestrian Footpath to get from railway station to Monkey World for visitors/ staff + a footpath from Wool to Lulworth Cove.
 - . Affordable rented housing- council owned + not to be sold off.
 - . More local jobs + not just service industry.
 - . Preservation of green spaces in village for recreation.
- WPC NPQ 40 *WOULDN'T LIKE*
- . Another Purbeck Gate style development – too much altogether – makes it like a separate place – doesn't integrate with rest of village well.
 - . So much new housing that the village identity is lost and becomes a housing sprawl with insufficient structure + facilities.
- WPC NPQ 41 *LIKE*
- Location
Size
Facilities & amenities
- WPC NPQ 41 *DON'T LIKE*
- Railway crossing
Congestion in village centre
- WPC NPQ 41 *WOULD LIKE*
- A flyover/bridge to replace Wool level crossing.
A 20mph speed limit through Wool.
Footpaths in Lulworth Rd & Bindon Lane. More off-road parking.
- WPC NPQ 41 *WOULDN'T LIKE*
- More houses unless adequate facilities/amenities included as a condition of planning approval.
- WPC NPQ 42 *LIKE*
- WPC NPQ 42 *DON'T LIKE*
- The Beauty of the surrounding area's, wildlife, peace & quiet & not many people!
Social events are limited here, and these that run are usually during the day – no good if you have to work. Would be nice to see a choir, Art Club set up.
- WPC NPQ 42 *WOULD LIKE*
- A few more shop's reasonably priced.
The road renewed in Linclith/folly Lane – its very bad.
More social events.
- WPC NPQ 42 *WOULDN'T LIKE*
- No more housing estates creating an impact on the environment – traffic/population/people/Noise.
- WPC NPQ 43 *LIKE*

WPC NPQ 43 *DON'T LIKE*
WPC NPQ 43 *WOULD LIKE*

ADDENDUM!! To previously handed in questionnaire - I WOULD LIKE TO SEE A 20MPH SPEED LIMIT ON ALL ROADS IN WOOL PARISH WITH THE CURRENT 30MPH AREA, WITH THE OBVIOUS EXCEPTION OF THE MAIN DORCHESTER ROAD WHICH SHOULD REMAIN 30MPH.

WPC NPQ 43 *WOULDN'T LIKE*
WPC NPQ 44 *LIKE*

People came to Wool and Locals remained in Wool because it is a village. The community is beging to come together because of the improvement at the D"Urberville Hall and efforts of groups in encouraging community interest/activities. The rural aspect of lovely fields to east and west of Wool helps to create "wellbeing" for humans and nature. A good selection of shops, churches, chemist, station, Library.

WPC NPQ 44 *DON'T LIKE*

Lack of required number of Doctors to serve the growing community.
Lack of Bus Service to Dorchester.
The busy A352 through Wool and one which is not "fit for purpose". The Dorset Highways to acknowledge problems of traffic through Wool.
The constant "chipping away" of the rural aspect of the area.
Lack of acknowledgement and encouragement of local "sustainability"

WPC NPQ 44 *WOULD LIKE*

Up-scaling of the Medical Practice.
Protect's our Schools.
Bus service to Dorchester.
Wool to remain a rural VILLAGE without large scale development.
Truly affordable housing for vulnerable residents.
The adoption of a "NATIONAL PARK"
Residents would appreciate a Local Government to listen to their concerns.

WPC NPQ 44 *WOULDN'T LIKE*

URBANISATION and a creeping dormitory town.
The proposed number of new unnecessary and unaffordable properties on a scale of the poor quality of BUILD provided by Planners/Developers/Builders at Purbeck Gate.
Development built without guaranteed infrastructure.
Developers/Builders being allowed to inspect their own site.

WPC NPQ 45	<i>LIKE</i>	A quiet, rural area with reasonable selection of shops. Local railway station. Good community & village spirit which will be lost if unreasonable growth occurs.
WPC NPQ 45	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Poor, unmaintained roads. A excessive of number of vehicles. Little use made of residents garages. Continual delays due to level crossing. Poor development, ie Purbeck Gate with no adoption of roads within the development. Poor & ineffective medical surgeries. Lack of control over individual housing development.
WPC NPQ 45	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Better bus service & surgeries. More schools Some control over parking & drainage. Roads better maintained & dangerous corners corrected, ie nr local butchers. The formation of a National Park. Proper and regulated inspection of houses being built.
WPC NPQ 45	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Excessive building development on attractive local areas. The so called 'Planned development' that is planned with extremely expensive so called affordable housing?
WPC NPQ 46	<i>LIKE</i>	Shops Railway Surgery
WPC NPQ 46	<i>DONT LIKE</i>	Poor planning Speeding
WPC NPQ 46	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	No second homes Affordable housing Speed management
WPC NPQ 46	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Big developments
WPC NPQ 47	<i>LIKE</i>	ABLE TO WALK TO AMMENITIES RAILWAY PUBS SHOPS SCHOOLS

WPC NPQ 47 *DON'T LIKE*

ILL THOUGHT DEVELOPMENT
WAITS FOR APPT AT SURGERY – WILL ONLY WORSEN
NO SOCIAL HUB FOR ANYONE LONELY
SPEEDING TRAFFIC
AGRICULTURAL VEHICLES SPEEDING THROUGH VILLAGE/CUT THROUGH COMMERCIAL
TRAFFIC COLLIERS LANE
CYCLE ROUTE THROUGH VILLAGE ! DANGEROUS

WPC NPQ 47 *WOULD LIKE*

IMPROVED BUS SERVICE
BYPASS
IDEAS TO REDUCE WAITING TIMES AT THE CROSSING – EMERGENCY VEHICLES HELD UP
TOO LONG
WOOL TO REMAIN A VILLAGE
IMPROVED FOOTPATHS
SPEEDING LIMITS ON ALL ROADS (20MPH, LESS BY SCHOOL)
FUTURE PROOF HOUSING SOCIAL HOUSING
GREEN AREAS BETWEEN DEVELOPMENTS
EXERCISE EQUIPMENT FOR ADULTS
AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR LOCALS
ANY DEVELOPMENT TO BE IN KEEPING WITH VILLAGE & INCREASE IN AMENITIES.

WPC NPQ 47 *WOULDN'T LIKE*

SIZE TO INCREASE BEYOND CAPACITY OF LOCAL SERVICES
CHEAP DEVELOPMENT ILL DESIGNED HOUSES
NO AFFORDABLE HOUSING
NO CONSIDERATION ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF FOOTPATHS
ANY DEVELOPMENT INCREASING RISK OF FLOODING

WPC NPQ 48 *LIKE*

Wool & the surrounding area is a nice friendly & pleasant place to live.
With a mixture of old and new areas
There are a good range of shops which is an asset for the older generation of the village

WPC NPQ 48 *DON'T LIKE*

1) The horrible housing estate on the end of the village which though not that old is looking dull & shoddy.

The railway is the bane of every motorists life & already causes long delays & pollution through the main street.

WPC NPQ 48 *WOULD LIKE*

The village kept as a village & not spoilt by more building

WPC NPQ 48 *WOULDN'T LIKE*

The local area turned into an affluent area for Poole & Bournemouth.

Adding more houses is going to make more traffic & even more congestion in the area.

If there are to be more houses built they must be more made available locally.

WPC NPQ 49 *LIKE*

I like the facilities Wool has & variety of shops & businesses – just right for the size it is at present. The hall, playing field, childrens play area, churches, cater for most people. The railway station is an asset, (but wish the service was more reliable) The share & care service is good for medical appointments.

WPC NPQ 49 *DON'T LIKE*

The present bus service is inadequate compared to that is was like 10 years ago. A regular bus service to Dorchester would be good, also a service to Wareham especially on a Saturday – whether it be a locally provided service or the exciting service re-instated on a Saturday.

WPC NPQ 49 *WOULD LIKE*

A café / coffee shop with toilets by the station – hope it materialises

WPC NPQ 49 *WOULDN'T LIKE*

More housing would be better suited to an existing town and leave Wool as a viable village.

WPC NPQ 50 *LIKE*

Wool is located in a lovely part of the country. It is on the edge of a UNESCO World Heritage Site and just outside an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

It is a very friendly village and most of the properties are occupied with very few second homeowners. It has three very well supported pubs coupled with a thriving Royal British Legion Club. There is a train station directly into London. It has four churches, two garages, a GP surgery, a dental practice, two good primary schools and a number of small shops and businesses.

WPC NPQ 50 *DON'T LIKE*

The proposal to build an additional 460 plus house in the village. There is not the infrastructure to support a population increase of this magnitude. As it is the village surgery can hardly cope with present population, it was voted the worst surgery in Dorset a couple of years ago and now still languishes 73 out of 85. The railway crossing is another bone of contention with long delays particularly during the summer months. The bus service has been gradually cut back in recent years and there is no direct service to Dorchester. There is no free 24/7 ATM within 5 miles of the village.

WPC NPQ 50 *WOULD LIKE*

A railway crossing bypass. An improved bus service to include a direct link to Dorchester. A free 24/7 ATM. A greater improvement in the GP surgery. Housing development tailored to meet local need accompanied by appropriate improvements to local infrastructure. The purchase of any new houses to be restricted to the 'St Ives Option'.

WPC NPQ 50 *WOULDN'T LIKE*

Any significant increase in the number of new houses which would further destroy the character of the village. A major sell off of agricultural land. Any increase of additional housing in excess of local need. (Need not greed)

BOV NPQ 1 *LIKE*

The wide open space's, and Countryside

BOV NPQ 1 *DON'T LIKE*

The junction near the Level Crossing near Wool Station. I have been living in Dorset for over 20 year's have the council ever thought of traffic lights.

BOV NPQ 1 *WOULD LIKE*

I have an Idea, for the winter months, which would bring some business to Wool, is a laundrette.

BOV NPQ 1 *WOULDN'T LIKE*

The corner near the Spar and the Methodist Church as a speed restriction and put up a sign of 20 m.p.h.

BOV NPQ 2 *LIKE*

I like the rural quality of these villages surrounded by fields, the Purbeck hills and the water meadows as well as easy access to the coast and Morton Forest. There is also easy access to Poole, Dorchester and Weymouth by car and to some extent train.

Small villages like Wool and East Burton have strong communities.

I like the friendliness of having a 'manned' station.

BOV NPQ 2 *DON'T LIKE*

There is a lack of bus transport out of the tourist season. Trains only stop once an hour (except at certain times of day) at Wool and it is a busy station. Each time I have used it there are about 10-12 people waiting to get on to trains.

There are too many second and holiday homes in the area which means that local people are deprived of housing and this, in turn, creates the apparent need for more housing which eats up the surrounding countryside. I have spoken to people who have holiday homes and they generally occupy them for 6-8 weeks a year. I think that so called 'affordable' homes are not affordable for local people with local wages. They are affordable for people from outside the area. This further creates housing shortages for local people.

If further development goes ahead (as it seems inevitable to do so based on Government targets) it is impractical to have a through town like Wool with a railway bisecting it. This means that traffic is held up, sometimes for several minutes twice an hour (longer if there is a fault). The solution would be costly in terms of a bridge over the road but would it have to be near the station?

BOV NPQ 2 *WOULD LIKE* I'd like to see:

- . A reliable year round bus service and trains which stop at Wool twice every hour.
- . Conservation of the water meadows in Wool.
- . Serious action by the police to prevent people riding motorised vehicles through Morton Forest. I have communicated with The Forestry Commission about this issue and they have said that they are working with the police to stop it.
- . Green spaces and fields left as such and protected under conservation.
- . True affordable housing for local people which is not outside their incomes range.
- . Regulation regarding holiday homes and second homes which would mean that estate agents are not allowed to advertise properties as such.
- . In Bovington, I would like Dorset Highway Maintenance to clean the gutters of vegetation on King George V Road. When there is heavy rain, the rainwater cannot reach the gutters or the drains and it creates flooding just below the Tank Museum, at the dip in the road near the Community Centre and near Clouds Hill.

BOV NPQ 2 *WOULDN'T LIKE* More housing developments particularly if they gobble up green sites and if local infrastructure cannot support this type of development.

EB NPQ 1 *LIKE* QUIET, FRIENDLY & VERY CLEAN

EB NPQ 1 *DON'T LIKE* BUS SERVICE

EB NPQ 1 *WOULD LIKE* A NEW BUS SERVICE EVERY 1 HOUR TO SERVICE HOSPITAL AND DORCHESTER FOR SHOPPING AND NOT HAVING TO GO TO WEYMOUTH + CHANGE TO GO TO DORCHESTER

ALSO SPEED RESTRICTION & LIGHTING IN EAST BURTON ROAD

EB NPQ 1 *WOULDN'T LIKE* TO MANY NEW HOMES

EB NPQ 2 *LIKE* It is a well balanced rural & friendly community with just about enough local shops and open space.

EB NPQ 2 *DON'T LIKE* The railway crossings are the main cause of traffic build up and seem to have been extended shutting closures. The train hooters have got louder in the last year.
The roadways have become elongated car parks.

EB NPQ 2	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	AS the planned development is inevitable the existing shopping spots are going to be in danger of being overloaded and create more parking problems which will lead to traffic flow becoming intolerable unless adequate provision is made for the new development.
EB NPQ 2	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	The new development becoming clogged up with cars and ugly unsuitable houses, without enough thought for the eventual appearance of the housing, and without provision of enough affordable housing to meet local needs.
EB NPQ 3	<i>LIKE</i>	East Burton Peace & quiet. Wonderful wildlife. Few streetlights \ visible night sky. Lovely neighbourhood. Where else can you lie in bed at night listening to the owls hunting & "Tweeting" each other.
EB NPQ 3	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Lack of buses.
EB NPQ 3	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	There is going to be more housing. Hopefully it will be a mixture of houses rather than just detached executive style housing. A Park for children & Adults. Sports Hall? Starter homes & homes for older people who want to downsize.
EB NPQ 3	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	A massive estate!! Too many houses squashed together with small back gardens \ lack of privacy.
EB NPQ 4	<i>LIKE</i>	IT USED TO BE A QUIET SLEEPY VILLAGE. IT WAS LOVELY TO LIVE HERE. LOTS OF FRIENDS AND NEIGHBOURS. IT WAS A GREAT PLACE TO LIVE BUT NO LONGER CUT THROUGH CARS AND LOUD HORNS ON TRAINS
EB NPQ 4	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	THE INCREASE IN CUT THROUGH TRAFFIC (SPEEDING IS NOW A DANGER) MANY OF THEM DO NOT LIVE HERE. THE INCREASE IN TRAINS, WHICH CREATES EXTRA LOUD HORNS THE HORNS ALSO CHANGED FROM A SINGLE TOOT TO NOW A BLAST FOR UP TO 2.5 SECONDS.

EB NPQ 4	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>THE CLOSURE OF DARKIES CROSSINGS MORE DOCTORS AVAILABLE. THEY CANNOT COPE, NOW LET ALONE, WITH SO MANY HOUSE'S PLANNED. WITH DRAWAL OF 50% OF THE PLANNED HOUSE'S, WE WISH TO REMAIN A VILLAGE, NOT BECOME A TOWN. CHICANES AT BOTH ENDS OF BURTON AND EAST BURTON ROAD.</p>
EB NPQ 4	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>ANYMORE BUILDINGS ANY MORE PEOPLE WHICH THIS AREA CANNOT SUBSTANE. OUR DOCTORS AND SHOPS CAN'T COPE NOW. MORE CARS IT IS NO LONGER SAFE TO WALK IN THIS AREA.</p>
EB NPQ 5	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>EAST BURTON, Quiet and Nice Views. WOOL shops, having a chemist TRAIN STATION</p>
EB NPQ 5	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>EAST BURTON NO FOOTPATHS, NOT SAFE TO WALK. POOR LIGHTING (STREET) VANS & CARS DRIVING TOO FAST THROUGH VILLAGE EAST BURTON ROADS ALWAYS GET FLOODED EACH TIME IT RAINS. NO TRANSPORT. (WE SEEM TO BE FORGOTTEN)</p>
EB NPQ 5	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>EAST BURTON A FOOT PATH THROUGH VILLAGE SLOWER TRAFFIC. SORT OUT THE FLOODING. BETTER LIGHTING IN THE WINTERS. WOOL MORE PARKING IN VILLAGE. BETTER TRANSPORT. (SMALL BUNGLOWS FOR OLDER PEOPLE TO BUY LOCAL)</p>
EB NPQ 5	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>TOO MANY HOUSES</p>
EB NPQ 6	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>NICE COUNTRY WALKS. FRIENDLY PEOPLE. LOCAL PUBS & LEGION.</p>

EB NPQ 6	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	THE BUILD UP OF TRAFFIC DUE TO THE CROSSING BEING CLOSED SO MUCH ON THE DORCHESTER ROAD.
EB NPQ 6	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	A BETTER BUS SERVICE, MORE FREQUENTLY RUN. A STREET CLEANER LIKE WE USED TO HAVE. MORE DOCTORS!
EB NPQ 6	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	MORE HOUSES. MORE SHOPS.
EB NPQ 7	<i>LIKE</i>	The rural environment with immediate access to the countryside from our doorstep
EB NPQ 7	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Increased urbanisation.
EB NPQ 7	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Restriction on more development which would increase the load to the already overloaded infrastructure.
EB NPQ 7	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	I wouldn't like to see it become one sprawling development with loss of individual identity.
EB NPQ 8	<i>LIKE</i>	Small community.
EB NPQ 8	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Scruffy, unclean areas.
EB NPQ 8	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Trees, flowers planted. Cleaner roads, footpaths.
EB NPQ 8	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Housing estates like Purbeck Gate le weird colours!
EB NPQ 9	<i>LIKE</i>	GREEN SPACES TREES
EB NPQ 9	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	LITTER SCRUFFY AREAS
EB NPQ 9	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	BETTER LIBRARY MORE REFURBISHMENT OF DURBERVILLE HALL STATION TICKET OFFICE REPLACED PARISH LITTER PICKER
EB NPQ 9	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	TOO MANY PRE FAB HOUSES BUILDING ON GREEN LAND

EB NPQ 10	<i>LIKE</i>	Village atmosphere Semi rural
EB NPQ 10	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Litter
EB NPQ 10	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Parish litter picker Longer opening library More flower planting Any new houses built with bricks!
EB NPQ 10	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	New houses not made of brick
EB NPQ 11	<i>LIKE</i>	MY HUSBAND AND I BOTH LIKE THE AMENITIES, ESPECIALLY HAVING A POST OFFICE IN WOOL. PEOPLE TEND TO BE FRIENDLY. HANDY TO HAVE STATION, ALTHOUGH HATE THE VERY NOISEY AIR HORNS PAST OUR HOUSE!
EB NPQ 11	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	TRAFFIC TRAFFIC AND MORE TRAFFIC!! EAST BURTON AND BURTON ROAD IS A DANGEROUS RAT RUN WHEN TRAIN BARRIERS ARE DOWN. WE DON'T GO TO WOOL SURGERY – SO DIFFICULT TO GET AN APPOINTMENT, WE GO TO BERE REGIS. THE CYCLE PATHS ARE DANGEROUS FOR PEDESTRIANS.
EB NPQ 11	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	MORE RUBBISH BINS. MORE POLICED SPEED CAMERAS. SMELLY OLD VEHICLES TAKEN OFF THE ROAD. WHAT HAPPENED TO CLEAN COUNTRY AIR?
EB NPQ 11	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	TOO MANY HOUSES BUILT, THEREFORE MORE CARS, MORE PEOPLE WANTING TO USE THE DOCTORS SURGERY, SCHOOLS, ETC HOUSES FOR SALE ALREADY AT PURBECK GATE! THE ROADS GETTING IN AND OUT ARE BAD ENOUGH NOW, ESPECIALLY IN THE SUMMER. TO CROSS MAIN DORCHESTER RD AND WAREHAM ROAD ON FOOT IS A NIGHTMARE!

EB NPQ 12	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Its shops and surgery and local pubs. The recreation field and playground. The East Burton Village Hall.</p> <p>The railway station.</p> <p>The tranquillity in Giddy Green and East Burton.</p>
EB NPQ 12	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>The very poorly maintained Burton road with no street lighting and it flooding in wet weather. The continual obnoxious smell caused by the intensified farming of the cattle. The transported and spreading of its waste.</p> <p>The congested traffic through railway gate closures. No public transport by bus through East Burton.</p> <p>Spring Street Bakery parking.</p>
EB NPQ 12	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Better transport provisions.</p> <p>Better provision for elderly people – bungalows not flats. No leasehold properties. Instead of the planned intensive housing project more sensitive planning and maybe solar panels instead of vast housing. Affordable environmentally sustainable buildings and better drainage infrastructure.</p>
EB NPQ 12	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Any more housing without proper thought to infrastructure.</p> <p>There is the potential for flooding therefore proper drainage needs to be addressed.</p>
WS 1	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>A friendly and welcoming village.</p> <p>Good footpath network and still rural outlook</p>
WS 1	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Badly designed development</p>
WS 1	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Re-development of Speeds corner. Its prominent situation on the Dorchester road and its present rather shabby appearance doesn't enhance the village.</p> <p>Well-designed eco. friendly housing which would be a flagship development for the area.</p>
WS 1	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>The loss of organic farmland rich in wildlife which probably won't be appreciated till its gone.</p>
WS 2	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>A friendly atmosphere with good amenities for a village. I appreciate the shops, recreational facilities (sports field and village hall etc) and of course the train station giving access to nearby large towns for other shopping and amenities. I like being surrounded by countryside and access to lovely walks.</p>

WS 2 ***DON'T LIKE*** The bus service is very limited and I wish there weren't so many cars on the roads at peak times. But public transport is expensive! The traffic is often held up by the trains (sometimes two at a time) and it can take a long time to get out of the village at peak times. We are all worried about there being many more cars when the new developments take place (most families have two cars these days) so there is likely to be at least a thousand more cars.

The health centre is apparently understaffed and can't recruit enough GPs to work there ! I wonder why that is. (needs an independent investigation) We have a long wait to get an appointment with a Doctor.

WS 2 ***WOULD LIKE*** Better bus service. Better service from the Health Centre. Plenty of recreational space when they plan the new developments.. attention paid to the problem of more traffic through the village. How will this be resolved ?

Permanent speeding deterrents, the flashing reminder that was place on Dorchester Road a couple of months ago really helped to slow the traffic. Sadly it was removed.

The roads in Purbeck Gate development being adopted by the council and DOUBLE YELLOW lines put at the entrance ! It's an accident waiting to happen.

Houses built for rent that are affordable, but also homes to BUY that are affordable. (the development in Wareham opposite the school had affordable rent homes, but absolutely no affordable to buy homes!)

Homes for retired people (with assistance if necessary) that are affordable to buy or rent.

WS 2 ***WOULDN'T LIKE*** Even more traffic at peak times !

Lack of thought given to access from main road to the new developments. (The single access to Purbeck Gate with vehicles parking near the entrance is currently dangerous and there will be an accident at some point !)

New Houses that are expensive and only for rich people ! Lack of recreational space on the new developments.

- WS 3** **LIKE** A rural community with plenty of green space whilst there are facilities and good connection with larger towns .
There is a nice village feel to all places although they are close to towns for work and social activities .
- WS 3** **DONT LIKE** The shops are very limited and there are public houses but no restaurants or bistros. The facilities are spread out so that a car is essential for local travel.
The roads cannot cater for tourist traffic in the height of the summer season. Lorries make the road usage difficult at times.
The Black Bear pub has limited parking and the small roads get congested making it difficult to get around.
- WS 3** **WOULD LIKE** More dining options e.g small restaurants. Better quality shops with more in the same area so that travel between them is reduced. Parking for cars so that neighbourhood parking is not taken up by tourists . Designated green space for walkers/campers.
- WS 3** **WOULDN'T LIKE** Not keen on a supermarket although if there are to be another 470 houses there may need to be one !
- I don't like massive housing estates as they tend to make local "ghettos" and the whole area loses the community feeling which is important to rural life.
- Smaller developments close to existing houses may be the answer.
- WS 4** **LIKE** A sense of community with Churches Together playing a vital role. I like the thought of a Care Home within the Village of Wool so the Elderly population do not have to feel it necessary to move out of area when they down size.
It has everything you need.

WS 4	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Lack of Public Loo. Badly pot holed pavements so therefore Mobility Scooters, pushchairs have to use the road, which is dangerous. The rat run of Colliers Lane, needs to have double yellow lines and also speed limit enforced. Double yellow lines need to be placed on Meadow Lane causes congestion with double parking. Ambulances need to reach Wellbridge Surgery.</p>
WS 4	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>A monthly organised Litter Pick for all areas. More signs like they have on entering the Swanage area, shaming the litter louts. IF we are going to have more houses built then they need to be affordable and also Developers need to consider two parking spaces per household as a minimum. More dog wardens in evidence. More Community Police patrols at dusk around D'Urberville centre and Play Area. Also the entrances to Wool should have electronic (solar powered) speed traps.</p>
WS 4	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>More housing being built on green areas.</p>
WS 5	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Easy access to unspoilt countryside, lack of urban sprawl, a sense of community that the village/area has.</p>
WS 5	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Tendency to excessive tourism beyond the limits that can be absorbed by the area, the fact that a good bus service is only run in the summer to cater for the tourists, what about the rest of the year when some of the more elderly residents have no access to a decent bus service.</p>
WS 5	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Upgrading of the local infrastructure, better car parking at the station, dedicated cycle path to Winfrith Newburgh so safer cycling for families along the busy road. Small development of suitable affordable housing to enable local people who work in the service industries, which are not well paid, to stay in the area</p>
WS 5	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Large scale development that has been proposed, would make the area a sprawl of housing that local people could not afford and would encourage more 2nd homes for people who live in the larger cities of the south and treat Dorset and the Purbecks as a 'Theme park'.</p>

WS 6	<i>LIKE</i>	Its beauty, access to larger towns and variety of activities good local shops and services
WS 6	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The surrounding areas are beautiful, but I wouldn't say the actual places are. The station in Wool looks awful for example
WS 6	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	More joined up initiatives - Britain in bloom? Litter picking, community events
WS 6	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Housing built on flooding areas a supermarket
WS 7	<i>LIKE</i>	We still feel like 3 villages - East Burton has NOT yet joined to Wool and has its own identity. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The friendliness and 'help your neighbour' feel which comes from being a SMALLish community. - The level of amenities:- station, PO, health centre, chemist, 2 good little supermarkets, 2 hairdressers etc (unusual for villages) - Large recreation ground, including excellent 'swing park' and 'pavillion' on site. - D'Urberville Centre is becoming more of a hub for a wider variety of events - the extra income is greatly improving the look and feel of the place. - 4 active churches, many active and well attended clubs and classes, eg. WI, Country Market. Quite a lot to do for older and retired people.

WS 7

DON'T LIKE

The level crossing - almost 1 in 2 chance of being stopped.

- Traffic and chicanes in Wool High Street outside the Spar - and the right turn into Spring Street) horrendous for double decker buses and large lorries)
- Purbeck Gate is 178 houses - and is JUST becoming integrated after all these years. The 500 proposal is 3 more Purbeck Gates - heaven help us.
- No parking for attending church of England services (such a complicated business for the vicar to open and close gates and security fences etc!)
- The ugliness of Purbeck Gate - that coloured rendering has aged appallingly and looks just awful - who let the builders do that in the first place?
- No buses along Dorchester Rd serving the west of the village. now it goes to Lulworth.
- Sure Start has gone, leaving very little for pre-school children to do, especially when it's raining.
- On street parking - driving around can sometimes be almost impossible - stop /start, double parking etc.

WS 7

WOULD LIKE

Maybe a completely new 'village' (say half way between Wool and Broadmayne) with its own amenities - hub, field, play area, shop etc. All would STILL have a small friendly village feel.

- Infilling and use of land all around the edge is preferable to one HUGE development - these would form small neighbourhoods in themselves and better integrate
- Many more affordable homes for lower paid workers (care workers, bin men, window cleaners etc. without whom our aging population cannot stay in their homes.) Maybe 'traditional terraces'.
- More doctors - a MUST if we have so many new houses. Maybe including some 'council house type houses designated for essential personnel - eg. young doctors.
- Better transport - even a bus through the whole village twice a day each way would be better (esp. to Dorchester for hospital appointments and shopping)
- Our aging population will have increasing needs in future. Maybe more bungalows or accessible one bedroom flatlets - linked together as in Knowlewood Knap. NOT an old folks home.
- Do we need / can we sustain 2 primary schools? [I appreciate this is a challenge when they are different churches] If they merged that would create parking for Holy Rood Church.
- Something that links Bovington to Wool - they feel very 'away' from everything. Young families are stranded for doctors' appointments - it's a long walk with a pushchair and a toddler in the rain.
- Every home should have off road parking areas.

WS 7 **WOULDN'T LIKE** Large supermarket - our 2 little shops serve us well and have been loyal for years. They would go out of business if we had a large supermarket. I suspect we might even get a third small shop open on the outskirts.

- A 2nd 'hub': eg. if Wool extended too far towards Dorchester ; those on the West fringe might well be some distance from D'Urberville but we need more use of D'Urberville not less. Both would end up going under.
- The submerging of East Burton into Wool - EB would lose its identity - leave a 'green belt'.
- Multicoloured rendering - we are not an Italian Riviera but a dirty looking town.

WS 8 **LIKE**

The fact that they are a group of villages and not one big town.

I love the meadows around East Burton and Wool train station.

The train station is an excellent facility.

The new play park and existing skate park is fantastic for the local children.

Our small independent businesses, the local butchers, bakers, deli and hardware etc are all excellent.

WS 8 **DON'T LIKE**

There is a lot of very ugly, badly designed and cheap housing; particularly but not exclusively in Wool. It is detrimental to what should be a quaint country village.

WS 8

WOULD LIKE

Any new housing or development to be designed and built with taste and sensitivity to the surrounding area, with the emphasis of a 'country village feel' being at the heart of any new design.

Any new housing or development to be built with quality materials with longevity as the focus.

I would like the meadows around East Burton and Wool train station to remain exactly the same in 25yrs time.

A large wildlife pond.

Bandstand/ampitheatre area to encourage more community arts/music/theatre projects.

More Trees.

More green communal spaces.

Communal Gardens.

Picnic area.

More wildlife encouragement projects.

If there is a need for more facilities then the focus should be to encourage and support small scale local independent shops/businesses to start up

WS 8

WOULDN'T LIKE

An Asda or other similar large corporate supermarket.

More street lights.

More road signs.

More signage of any sort, there is too much of it.

Large carparks.

CCTV everywhere.

More road furniture like speed cameras, crossings, traffic lights, light boards, billboards etc.

WS 9

LIKE

Like about the Parish: I like the access to the coast, the fact that the railway station is about seven minutes down the road, and the doctors' surgery and shops are within a short walking distance. Also, for an OAP such as myself, the entire area referred to has no challenging hills to climb. So far (I have lived in Wool since 1981), crime has been relatively non-existent. Fortunately, we have the Police Headquarters on our doorstep, which must be a deterrent.

WS 9

DON'T LIKE

Old Wool is charming, with its thatched cottages in Spring Street and the stream with the ducks.

Sadly, subsequent housing has been spread-eagled over a wide area which does not add to its

charm. For example, as you walk from Lulworth Road down Colliers Lane, you come across an

complex of houses called The Triangle. They seem to have been deliberately enclosed. It is most

unattractive. Some of their back gardens lead down to Colliers Lane, lending them no privacy

from passers by, not to mention increased traffic! If one walks further, there is a big estate with

small bungalows and houses, completely higgledy piggledy, with small garages adjacent. I doubt they are used as garages as most people leave cars on the road or on their forecourts.

I live in Breach Field Road and I think it is one of the few streets in Wool that has been managed

in a way that makes it attractive, e.g. wide street with grass verges (birds often can be seen eating seeds etc), Trees that are now growing taller give it a better look. Sadly, traffic use it as a "rat run."

WS 9

WOULD LIKE

Whatever is said about Poundbury, I think (with some exceptions) it is well planned and designed.

I was there recently with my daughter (who lives in Harrogate) and she was very impressed.

She said she wouldn't mind living there. Houses and flats are around pub/hotel, Duchess of Cornwall, very good butchers and other shops and wide open spaces with greenery & trees. the

houses appeared to be of a better quality than those at Purbeck Gate, Wool. There should be an overall standard & quality. I am not averse to a small supermarket such as Tesco Express but, presumably, competition with other supermarkets would be fierce.

Finally, facilities to accommodate the needs of small children such as swings, skate park for the

older ones. Also dog warnings for fouling and penalty notices and, of course, bins for dog excrement.

Presumably, infrastructure such as sewage disposal, another doctors' surgery or Small Injuries

Unit, and roads in and out of Wool to accommodate traffic. Already, in the summer, Wool is

clogged up with traffic and Sandford continues to be a bottleneck.

WS 9

WOULDN'T LIKE

I would not like to see an estate like Purbeck Gate. I have walked through it on quite a number of occasions and it is soulless. Houses should have a decent amount of garden and not look like a "rabbit hutch". If social housing is mixed with private I think this is a good thing. Social isolation of people who are on benefits (and maybe with drug or alcohol problems) is not desirable and could cause more problems. They should be able to feel included. We have an example of this in Breach Field Rd, where social housing is alongside private and I have not heard of any problems.

Also, I do not like to see "infilling" just for the sake of it.

Finally, light industry could be catered for, maybe on the Winfrith site where, I believe, space is becoming available. This would enable work situations for people and reduce traffic if they were able to cycle or walk to work.

WS 10

LIKE

I love the area .. We have lived here for 20 years, we moved here from another part of Dorset for job reasons . Wool and East Burton nestles comfortably in the Frome valley. Bovington remains secure and happy and settled nearby .

The whole settlement which sits in the beautiful diverse countryside has a contentment, containment and friendliness that not many other large settlements in Dorset can offer.

We consider ourselves very lucky to be part of a great community .

WS 10

DON'T LIKE

There isn't much I don't like about the area.

The increase in the volume of traffic leaves one feeling rather reluctant to venture far , but I feel that the whole of the Purbeck area also suffers from increased traffic movements .

Should I grumble about the increase in our Council tax?

Yes , some of our side roads are in a deplorable state , they are uneven , and the pavements rough and difficult to walk on, ankle twisting so .

There has been a huge amount of infill since we have lived here. Our road here in Wool consisted of old bungalows with huge long back gardens .. They were sld and the land was redeveloped . Many new homes were built , and the landscape changed, then of course another brand new estate was built , and we had no say so in the design or shape of the estate , which for a rural area is cramped and tightly built .

Bovington remains well maintained , and a new community hub was eventually converted. People in Bovington Cologne road do feel rather cut off however (Elderly people)

WS 10

WOULD LIKE

I would like to see extra recreational space , I know there are river walks when the water meadows aren't supporting sheep grazing . I would also like to see ADULT exercise machines , similar to those we have seen in Crossways and Poole Park .

I feel that the Spar shop is very expensive .. yes , very expensive, and perhaps a Tesco express or Sainsbury Express would be preferable in its place .

I also think a launderette would be an absolute asset for days when the domestic washing machine lets you down .

Years ago there was a successful tea room at Rose Mullion . I know we are blessed with 2 pubs , but a nice tea room would be an asset for visitors .

I would also like to see a proper footpath on the C6 leading to Monkey World , that road is so dangerous . An accident waiting to happen .

Dial a ride bus failed miserably years ago , but bus services are vital, and Bere Regis has one where you pay a fiver which takes a crowd to Poole Tesco/ Dorchester or wherever .

WS 10

WOULDN'T LIKE

The idiots who suggested that 470+ new homes to be developed in excellent ag quality fields must be a few apples short of a fruitcake .

We do not need any more mass building on that sort of scale , absolutely not .

We cannot cope with any more extra traffic , people , nor more strain on the community. We are being strangled by government demands and we have a County Council that believes it must cover swathes of rural Dorset in homes

We must not become a town nor must we become a dormitory commuter belt .

The pollution from heavy road traffic is pretty noticeable during hot weather , we are nestled in a valley , and the smell just lingers .

Since we moved here to Wool 20 years ago the village has expanded nearly threefold . East Burton is almost unrecognisable now , the back road has pretty newish houses , but limited parking spaces .. Allowances should have been made for extra parking spaces . Sadly that back road is a bit of a rat run .. sign of the times.

No to 470+ houses .. no no no.

WS 11

LIKE

I like Wool because unlike the towns there is always violence. Or theft

WS 11

DON'T LIKE

Not enough groups or holiday clubs for our local children during the Easter holidays or the big 6 weeks. The youth club is only for those that are in year 6 onwards in school,

My child has special needs and basically there is just nothing for her to do

WS 11

WOULD LIKE

I think I speak not only for myself but for others, With new houses being built would love to see a couple of super market chains get invited to build.

Would also be nice if there were Xmas and Easter parties just for the local children

WS 11

WOULDN'T LIKE

NO MORE PUBS.

WS 12	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Community spirit Everyone says hello Small friendly schools Lovely walks in walking distance of home A nice range of shops Good mix of ages Train Station and easy access to towns such as Wareham and dorchester</p>
WS 12	<i>DONT LIKE</i>	<p>Road Traffic and bad driving through village with complete disrespect for speed limits Dog fouling Teenagers hanging around the park at night Litter at recreation ground</p>
WS 12	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Traffic calming measures, particularly Dorchester Road and at the railway crossing end. Another pedestrian crossing at the other end of village - No point in putting a bridge over the railway line there and then provide no way for pedestrians to cross the road other than having to walk down to the other end of the village.</p> <p>Many cars exceed the 30 speed limit and there is no reminder through the village that it is only 30 miles an hour.</p> <p>When the crossing barriers are down cars back up along the road, blocking the exits to roads such as Cedar Close - some keep clear signs would be helpful.</p> <p>More things for young people to do</p>
WS 12	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>More traffic - it's really bad in summer during the holidays More litter and bad behaviour particularly around the recreation ground More dog fouling People who don't care about their community</p>

WS 13

LIKE

I like the peacefulness the villages bring and that you can walk into morton forrest easily.

Wool train station is also very handy with direct links to london and the south coast

Bovington Communtiy cafe (Matildas) and the British Legion provides a hub for the community. Gregs has also now in Bovington which is good but limited on healthy Fast Food.

WS 13

DON'T LIKE

Shops are limited for all three villages i would love to see a decent size supermarket like lidle or Aldi in the local area.

Bus routes and times are limited

I think a bus that takes you to wool train station and the surrounding villages would be fantastic. I know a number ex elderly residents that where forced to move due to a lack of public transport.

Bovingtons play park is made of wood this gets vandilised and also grafitti swear words are often written on the wood, and there is always rubbish in or around the park, this is not helped by the lack of bins in Bovington. The park is not a nice place to take your children.

Bovington has a lack of suitable rubbish bins. There are some bins but the ones in the park can be moved and are a frog and bear character and are often vandilised or turned upside the other bins are open and have no cover meaning birds take the rubbish out and the whole place is dirty becouse of it.

There are now a number of takeaways in Bovington the need for proper bins to keep the village clean has never been greater.

The whole of Bovington village looks tiered the road signs and street names are all in poor condition and in a great need of replacment, i do understand that some of Bovington is the responsability of the Army but maybe a partnership between council and the Army can be utilised or improved.

WS 13

WOULD LIKE

BOVINGTON

A more modern and substantial play park made of metal to prevent it from getting vandalised

Rubbish bins or recycling bins placed around the village but the area of the shops takeaways and park are the main rubbish problem areas.

More Dog poo bins.

Spreading in Bovington is a problem, and there is no safe way for children to cross the road to get to the park, a zebra crossing would be a welcome addition and keep all safe when crossing the road out of school hours i feel just by having this it would remind drivers of the speed limit. If possible a speed camera in the village would also prevent speeding and generate income for the council or police.

All three villages

A good size supermarket to support the area like a lidle or a Aldi not just a small co op or a small sainsburys this would bennifit the communtiy not just by having a good supermarket but will also create jobs for the local residents

WS 13

WOULDN'T LIKE

I welcome improvements to all villages and the area i cant think of anything specific that i wouldnt like to see but i would be worried about anything that ruined the peacefulness of the villages.

WS 14

LIKE

At the moment it's not too big that you still know your neighbours and a great community spirit still lives, particularly with the old village families.

WS 14	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The current threat of the new build. My health suffered this year thanks to not being able to see a Doctor for three weeks. The Doctors cannot cope now. What will happen if the proposed new build goes ahead. I work at a local school. We are under funded and resources are stretched to capacity. The government have promised more money but this is not fully available until 2023. Our school will be at full capacity and who will fund new classrooms?
WS 14	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Better roads, pavements where they are needed. A one way system on folly lane as it's dangerous at Doctors surgery opening time and when school parents start arriving or collecting. Monitoring of fly tipping on the Hillside Road, new road footpath. Everyone seems to think it's ok to put their grass cuttings in the hedge, covering wild flowers such as Bluebells in the spring.
WS 14	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	The new housing estate to be built opposite Purbeck Gate. I don't object to new builds, but it's far too many. It's putting profit over the quality of people's lives here. If Purbeck Gate affordable housing is anything to go by, it won't be local people buying them. I know very few locals who could afford to buy a house there and the social housing seemed to be filled by residents from other areas.
WS 15	<i>LIKE</i>	Quiet. Rural. local services eg small shops, Post Office, rail access.
WS 15	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Railway crossing. Traffic through the middle. Limited parking for limited shops.
WS 15	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	A bypass to take traffic away from the village and reduce the tailbacks at the railway level crossing. a larger shopping facility with sufficient parking. On the old Magnox site?
WS 15	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More houses.
WS 16	<i>LIKE</i>	Small community feel. Nice diverse group of people.
WS 16	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	People who have only lived here 5 minutes demanding that people from outside the parish cannot buy houses here. No public transport. No decent shops, accessing shops in neighbouring towns is hard so you end up getting things delivered increasing traffic and pollution on the roads. The levels crossing barrier down time is terrible

WS 16	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Sympathetic development. Better shops. Recreation facilities - like a gym or sport centre Bovington needs houses! Better access to public services. Bovington needs medical facilities
WS 16	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Blocks of flats Fields taken away Old people deciding what's best for wool
WS 17	<i>LIKE</i>	Rural nature, countryside and village life.
WS 17	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Loosing its identity as a rural village and becoming just a group of residential properties similiar to somewhere like Crossways. At a time when environmental factors are such a consideration then how can someone consider so many additional houses that are not needed for local families. Every house and piece of rural land developed should be offset with the landowner setting aside farmland for wildlife benefits and woodland to support Carbon management.
WS 17	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	No further development of properties that are not needed for local families that cannot be supported by the infrastructure including the roads and railway crossing. If land is not needed for farming then use it for additional woodland or wildlife benefits not financial gain.
WS 17	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Further unnecessary or justified development of the area for housing that is not needed by local families and cannot be supported by the roads and infrastructure in Wool or even the Purbeck's.
WS 18	<i>LIKE</i>	Quiet villages, lovely people, well most are. Good village halls. Loads of local groups. Great library.

WS 18	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>People shouting on facebook telling us what not to like!</p> <p>No public transport.</p> <p>No shops.</p> <p>Food establishments are below an acceptable standard and very expensive.</p>
WS 18	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Supermarket, decent takeaways/restaurants that deliver.</p> <p>Housing for local people.</p> <p>Bus service</p> <p>Flyover for the level crossing</p> <p>Gym and swimming pool</p> <p>Secondary school</p>
WS 18	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>NIMBYS, far too many of them not interested in the opinion of people born and raised here. They have the audacity to say they don't want people to move into the area and take our houses when they did exactly that. No large development needed.</p>
WS 19	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Small community feeling. Everything thing I need in walking distance. Good transport links. Carnival. Good schools. Quiet roads for cycling and running clubs. People of all ages. Nice walks across water meadows</p>
WS 19	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>THE TRAIN QUEUE !!!!!!!!!!. Unsupervised children around the parks. Mopeds on the rec. Short library opening hours. Parking on Purbeck gate and on colliers lane. Doctors appointments are difficult. And lastly the train queue</p>

WS 19	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Maybe a gym and some sort of speed deterrent along the main road. Wider pavements from Purbeck gate towards the main town. A super market within a few miles not as far as Dorchester or Poole.
WS 19	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More homes without more consideration for the impact on local services. Longer train queues. More cars on the roads. Unnecessary developments the houses are not selling around the village no need for more homes.
WS 20	<i>LIKE</i>	Great neighbourhood, picturesque and fairly quiet. Friendly and community focused.
WS 20	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The amount of cycle paths recently put in that are pointless as cyclists still cycle on the road!
WS 20	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>The length of time having to wait when the train gates are down.</p> <p>Would like in the Parish: More dog waste bins in the hope people will use them. The concrete areas on the recreation field in Wool need rejuvenating. Whilst a new skate park is on the agenda, the area between that and the Kids of Wool building could be turned back into a basketball!?</p>
WS 20	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>The boundary fence running alongside the current skate park could be walled and made into a dedicated graffiti area. Statistics show that if specific areas are made then they are utilised rather than elsewhere. (Suggest though to put a sign up advising foul language will be removed.)</p>
WS 21	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Likewise there are areas in Bovington that could have similar done.</p> <p>Any more cycle paths.</p> <p>At the moment it is fairly quiet although obviously more population than the facilities can cope with at the moment (Doctors) being one</p>

WS 21	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The infrastructure was not improved on when the last lot of homes were built on the outskirts of wool. It is bad enough now attempting to get things like doctors appointments. It will be a damn site worse if another 450 homes + a care home are built. We don't have enough doctors to deal with the requirement with the current population The level crossing can cause quite large tailbacks especially since it has gone electronic and controlled from Basingstoke I believe. The extra population would potentially make it even worse
WS 21	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	For me no more housing. Wool is or was a small community and it doesn't have the infrastructure to cope with the amount of extra people that the proposed plan would bring in.
WS 21	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	I wouldn't like to see it growing anymore, after all it was a village as such and I would say it should remain as such but I dare say comments like that will fall on deaf ears
WS 22	<i>LIKE</i>	nice place to live
WS 22	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	as not got supermarket more doctors
WS 22	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	supermarket doctors chalk pit road done signs to who is in the right at train crossing also road markings clearer
WS 22	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	more houses
WS 23	<i>LIKE</i>	I live in Wool, it's not too populated with good community spirit. The infrastructure is already struggling & if these houses are built it will ruin it. Not to mention the impact it will have on local wildlife & habitats. Not in favour of these developments at all.
WS 23	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	No doctors appointments could be waiting weeks. Also the grass verges hedges are always overgrown not kept well. The village of Wool could present better.
WS 23	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	If planning was allowed for local people to build a house in large gardens. More events & education for children. More educational options for kids & more police. Please consider options for the rail crossing in Wool, maybe bridge big ask or a mini roundabout with clear markings.

- WS 23** **WOULDN'T LIKE** 470 homes built. What an idiot idea to ruin a lovely village of wool.
The county councillors who propose Wool none of them live near to these site or in the area.
The impact on the train crossing its horrendous on a good day, please please don't allow these 470 houses to be built.
- WS 24** **LIKE** * Transport links
* Local services
* Families here for generations
* Beautiful/ special bio-diverse surrounding countryside.
* Slowly changing/evolving and accommodating change.
* Healthy mixed age group demographic compared with Wareham and Swanage.
- WS 24** **DON'T LIKE** * Threats of huge new developments to increase pop by 50%!!
* Destruction of a large area of important bio-diversity
* 1/2 mile queues of idling traffic either side of the Railway Crossing
* East Burton rat run
* Very dangerous junction of A352 & Lulworth Road at the Railway Crossing
* Lack of parking for local business employees and at the Railway Station.
* NO footpath/ verge on the Lulworth Road (Duck Lane to the allotments)
* Destroyed Village Community by Second Homes/Holiday rentals such has happened in Worth Matravers (70% second homes)

WS 24

WOULD LIKE

- * Wool to remain a Village
- *Social / affordable housing as required 20-50
- *Small retirement units/campus (to enable downsizing)
- *NO new build second homes/ holiday rentals . ie the St Ives ruling similar to the Purbeck AONB policy.
- * Increased traffic calming. Signals, signs, etc
- Upgrade for all footpaths. eg Footpath- Colliers Lane-- Giddy Green; Footpath 14 -Darkies Lane; etc
- *Increased parking for local business and especially for the Station ie behind the Parcels Office.
- *Removal of POWER/TELEPHONE poles.
- * Increased tree planting along verges/roads/boundaries. Station carpark edge is WONDERFUL.
- Frome Avenue has no trees!!!!!!
- *Lulworth Road footpath. Duck Street to Allotments
- * Monkey World footpath
- * Greater protection of local biodiversity / landscape with aim of becoming part of new NATIONAL PARK.
- * Prevent the closure of footpath 14 and the the crossing at Darkies Lane.
- *The Winfrith Innovation Park should be returned (after 50 years) to the original farming owners as promised 70 years ago.
- *REVIEW of the STRATEGIC necessity of enlarging Wool between the ANOB and the River Frome/Heathland-- away from all viable employment ares.
- *Develop POLICIES with regard to
 - 1 SECOND HOMES
 - 2 HOLIDAY RENTALS

- WS 24 *WOULDN'T LIKE* * 470--1000 new homes.
 * New build second homes.
 * Holiday rentals
 * Destruction of local environment and reduction of Biodiversity
 * Increased C emissions
 * Increased N run off.
 * Possible overload of local infrastructure & services eg Drs Surgery , Sewage works, local roads and railway crossing.
- WS 25 *LIKE* local people nice houses
- WS 25 *DON'T LIKE* no busses taxis are to much money peple trash and reck the place
- WS 25 *WOULD LIKE* more houses not much for yunger peple to buy round here want to be next to family proper big shops not litte 1
- WS 25 *WOULDN'T LIKE* more cars
- WS 26 *LIKE* It's close to places to Dorchester, Weymouth ect....
 Nice and quiet.
- WS 26 *DON'T LIKE* I hate waiting for the train while driving.
 We could do with a supermarket.
 A proper foot path from Cologne Road (which cuts through the wood from Morris Rd or Cologne Rd and comes out near the Tank Museum. As people in Cologne road and the other roads in the area feel very isolated from the main part of Bovington. We have no park, no shops, nothing to do around here for the kids or adults. To walk the road way it takes at least 30mins. We have no bus service, so unless you have a car your cut off. Or the Army could give a pass to all that live here and so we could cut through the Army camp, like they used to do!

WS 26

WOULD LIKE

A shop for essentials in Cologne Road.

Agility dog course where the old park used to be in Morris Road. A lot of people have dogs in the area and it would be nice to have somewhere to meet and play with your dog. (since the park has been removed NO ONE seems to maintain it by cutting the grass.)

Improve the area just before the community hall. There is a basket hoop and two goals but nothing else?? What are the kids suppose to do around here!

I would also like to see a zebra crossing on the 60 mph road turning down towards the Tank Museum, or the speed limit lowered as cars go zooming down that road and its hard sometimes coming out of Cologne Rd due to the cars speed. Also my daughter has to cross that road while walking to Wool.

The Forests to be cleared up both sides of Cologne Road as it's got loads of rubbish in it. Youth Club for kids in Cologne Road and surrounding roads.

A bus service, or Bovington Youth club could put on a mini bus for the kids in Cologne rd.

WS 26

WOULDN'T LIKE

WS 27

LIKE

Beautiful place to live. Decent people. Regular train service. Choice is schools

WS 27

DON'T LIKE

No buses, taxis are expensive. Poor quality eating establishment's. Can never get a doctors appointment. Public transport is terrible, if you have a hospital appointment the train can only take you so far.

WS 27

WOULD LIKE

Buses, supermarket, low cost housing for local people who need to be housed and not greedy landowners. More police officers. Sport centre with swimming pool

WS 27

WOULDN'T LIKE

More places of worship, I think we have enough. Mosque etc.

WS 28

LIKE

Wool has managed to retain a village feel in spite of the impact of additional homes at Purbeck Gate in recent years.

Crime is relatively low and the mix of old and young seems to be a good one at present.

House building generally has been controlled up to now helping to retain the village atmosphere.

WS 28	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Don't like about the Parish: Medical services are overstretched and medical appointments are becoming extremely difficult to obtain. There can be a three week wait for routine appts.</p> <p>Traffic build up in general but with an ever increasing hazard caused by parked vehicles making driving particularly difficult on the East end of Colliers Lane, Colliers Lane in general and through the "traffic calming" measures by the Spar.</p>
WS 28	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Occasional active police presence, especially with regard to road safety, speeding and dangerous/illegal parking. There is a regular speed trap in the area of Purbeck Gate/Winfrith Roundabout but measures are never seen actually in the village where roads are narrow and speed is frequently excessive.</p> <p>More medical provision.</p> <p>Improvements to the road/rail junction by the railway crossing. It can be very confusing for visiting drivers, especially when crossing the junction from the Dorchester direction towards Lulworth. Drivers frequently become unsure as to the priority when maneuvering and as traffic builds up people become more frustrated, leading to drivers pushing in when they have no priority - it is only a matter of time before there is a serious accident at the crossing. Traffic lights linked to the railway are the obvious answer.</p>
WS 28	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Additional traffic impact through the village centre (by the Black Bear, Spar, Bakery etc.), through Collier's Lane (already heavily influenced by parked vehicles, especially at school drop off/pick up) or at the Railway station where significant land would be required to accommodate additional parking.</p> <p>470 more homes are too many causing up to 2000 more residents, 1000 more vehicles and additional strain on traffic and local services.</p>
WS 29	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>A rural community of which the inhabitants are generally caring, kind people, who maintain the values of life . A place where crime is minimal and all ages can feel safe both in their homes and outside in the streets of the community. A place where 'helping your neighbour' still happens, which taking into account the answers to the following points is a necessity!</p>

- | | | |
|-------|----------------------|---|
| WS 29 | <i>DON'T LIKE</i> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Insufficient regular bus transport, from Bovington to anywhere! If you don't drive you are house bound! Children have to be driven to sports/social activities, not all parents have this time, but if transport was available teenagers could have a social life rather than hanging around. 2. Lack of Doctors at Surgery and appointments not readily available. 3. Lack of Supermarkets - if you don't drive you cannot get to Wareham from Wool. |
| WS 29 | <i>WOULD LIKE</i> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Regular bus service, passing through Bovington. 2. Larger Doctor Surgery to accommodate more Doctors, especially in the light of more houses being built in Wool - the present surgery is a nightmare to get an appointment at. 3. A 'Big Chain' supermarket - Aldi, Liddel, Tesco etc to allow those that cannot get to one of the bigger towns (not everyone drives, wives with military husbands away, etc). 4. A sports centre. OR at least more sports/leisure activities being given the space to offer classes. |
| WS 29 | <i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. More pubs. |
| WS 30 | <i>LIKE</i> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It's a village 2. Its rural with good walking routes and attractive river 3. It has good train connection 4. Its environment is reasonably maintained 5. In general it population are interested in village activities and are willing to participate 6. It has a thriving volunteer community(Library, Share & Care, Youth club and scouts, Litter pickers) |
| WS 30 | <i>DON'T LIKE</i> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The volume of traffic going through the village to and from work. 2. Traffic speeding through restricted areas 3. Lack of a By-Pass. 4. The appalling waits at the railway crossing and the associated Pollution 5. The untidiness of some roads(curbs and gutter full of weeds) 6. Litter, particularly that left by kids after getting off school bus 7. Dog Poo left. |

WS 30	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A By-Pass which would reduce traffic volume and eliminate railway crossing problem. 2. More recognised footpaths and descriptive route maps for visitors and residents. 3. More doctors at the surgery. 4. More street cleaning/tidying even if by self help. 5. A better quality supermarket with parking. 6. Public toilets and or encouragement of local businesses to allow toilet use, Pubs cafes etc. 7. An improved bus service say one per hour through the day. 8. Provision of more affordable starter homes for younger people.
WS 30	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Major development (with or without suitable infrastructure(namely By-Pass)) 2. More flooding problems. 3. More power outages or sewage problems. 4. Destruction of the habitat for people and animals. 5. Removal of rights of way, footpaths and railway crossings.
WS 31	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Rural/coastal setting with sheep in the fields which defines Wool! All amenities within walking distance.</p>
WS 31	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Loss of our fields Building on green belt/brown belt - this was designated as such to provide drainage and prevent flooding!</p>
WS 31	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Large Care Home which includes dementia care. (Similar to Pine Martin Care Home in Sandford)</p> <p>Large Superstore selling groceries homeware and clothes.</p> <p>Both of these will create employment for locals. Not having to travel will be better for the environment too.</p>
WS 31	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Commencing further Development of designated green belt without new/adequate facilities amenities and drainage in place.</p>
WS 32	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Wool I like as every think is there</p>
WS 32	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Bovington we have nothing up cologne Road no bus no play growed</p>

WS 32	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Play area for the kids up cologne Road
WS 32	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More houses
WS 33	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>It is peaceful with access to the coast</p> <p>It has beautiful surrounding countryside for walks in nature</p> <p>It is reasonably friendly to newcomers</p> <p>Its mainline station to London and onward connections</p> <p>It has a library and doctors surgery within walking distance</p> <p>There is a Post Office</p>
WS 33	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Very few buses so car ownership is essential</p> <p>Although there are two supermarkets they are of 'low' quality and expensive</p> <p>It has a 'scruffy' feel when driving through, hedges and roadsides are not maintained, so I don't feel proud of where I live. I would particularly point to the complex which houses the fish and chip shop which most people see as they pass through the village.</p> <p>The constant stream of traffic using Wool to either drive to Weymouth or the coast or to Poole and Bournemouth</p> <p>The fact that when driving out of Wool there is an inevitable wait at the station crossing of 10 or more minutes for a train to pass through</p>
WS 33	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>First and foremost a bypass so that people coming into Wool are visiting it and not just passing through, which would avoid the incessant queuing.</p> <p>A much bigger library so that it could be used as a warm and welcome hub for activities both for the elderly and young families.</p> <p>A nice coffee shop near the recreation ground for young families visiting the playpark, for people on their way to the medical centre, and for all supporters of the sports activities who would welcome a hot drink on a cold winters day.</p> <p>A general 'smartening up' of the roads and signs.</p> <p>More doctors at the surgery to enable less waiting times and perhaps if feasible a minor surgery clinic.</p>

WS 33	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Vast estates of ugly new housing. There is already a huge amount of resentment about the one new estate that has been built there which is unfair to those residents. It would be good to have an area of new building that Wool residents are proud of - everyone accepts the need for new building but it needs to be both affordable to locals and of high quality build.</p> <p>Removal of rights of way</p> <p>Nuisances as a result of new building such as needless destruction of wildlife habitats.</p>
WS 34	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Wool Parish is a large and spread out village but still retains a country village atmosphere. It has the necessary facilities, ie shops, doctor, dentist, pubs, churches, village hall etc. The railway station provides good access to larger towns. It is surrounded by countryside and is close to the sea.</p>
WS 34	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>a. The traffic along the main road has increased steadily over several years and delays at the level crossing are becoming longer. Despite assurances by the Highways Agency, we believe they will become even longer and traffic congestion will increase. b. The long waiting time for appointments at the surgery c. The prospect of large developments on the edge of the village.</p>
WS 34	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>a. The area to retain its present atmosphere, as mentioned above, with the provision of a limited amount of new accommodation aimed at local housing needs, including housing which is really affordable for young families.</p> <p>b. If the proposed large development is to proceed as shown in the master plan (dated August 12th 2019), changes should be made to the location of open spaces in the area to the west of Chalk Pit Lane and south of the main road. The plan shows new buildings on the east side of this section to be very close to existing properties. ie A back to back arrangement with new houses on a higher elevation. This is likely to reduce natural light reaching existing houses which will also be overlooked and their privacy compromised. Open spaces on the site as shown in the master plan, are mainly at the southern end and the NE and NW corners. If some of this open area were to be placed along the east side, so separating new building from existing houses this problem should be reduced.</p>

- WS 34 *WOULDN'T LIKE* a. Development of the scale proposed
- b. Long lines of traffic on both sides of the level crossing and increased traffic congestion. This will also lead to longer delays for emergency services having to cross the railway.
- c. Essential local facilities such as medical provision being overloaded even more than it is now.
- d. More second homes and empty unsold properties. e.g. Poundbury
- e. Loss of countryside views, sky and sunsets.
- WS 35 *LIKE* Speaking as a wool resident, I would have to say, it's open spaces, the fact that you walk down the road and 8 out of 10 people will stop a while and talk, no hustle and bustle of the big towns. The diversity of military vehicles makes me proud. It's just the village life most people crave.
- WS 35 *DONT LIKE* There is an increasing element of hooded trouble makers making themselves a nuisance in the last 2 maybe 3 years.
Hedges and verges could be kept a little tamer. (maybe a, Wool in bloom could be a summer option)
Other than than , no complaints.
- WS 35 *WOULD LIKE* Top of my list would be.
Municipal exercise machines on the Durberville field at intervals would be great, our local teams would benefit as the field itself is a good size to jog round... for beginners too.
Maybe more of a police / security presence at the station Friday and Saturday nights (it gets a tad..... rowdy most weekends at last train)
- WS 35 *WOULDN'T LIKE* More housing.

WS 36

LIKE

Bovington/East Burton - don't know/don't visit

Wool - the variety of family run, long established local businesses and shops which add to Wool's community spirit. Boots, Taylors Butchers, Amber Hardware and Central are especially useful to us.

- the train - which is essential for us to get to work and the reason we moved here.

- Generally feel safe walking around the village centre

- the green views around the village

WS 36

DON'T LIKE

Wool only -

Excessive, noisy and speeding traffic which gets worse year on year (lots of tractors, tanks, speeding motorbikes and lorries day and night)

- Awful smell of car fumes and pollution along Dorchester Road, especially near Baileys Drove/Toll Gate where vehicles speed up.

- huge amount of social/rented housing on the Purbeck view estate - estate does not integrate well with the rest of Wool, and there is a lot of visible anti social behaviour

- The doctors surgery is massively oversubscribed and suffers severely from a lack of doctors despite new initiatives constantly being introduced to help.

- One primary has not been ofsted-ed for a long time, and one recently had a poor result

- The train crossing

WS 36

WOULD LIKE

Wool -

- Improved medical facilities
- A new primary school/improved current schools with more school places to avoid them becoming oversubscribed.
- A bypass for Wool.
- More signed and accessible footpaths through green spaces/fields and access for prams/wheelchairs i.e. from Wool to East Burton - I cannot walk there with a pram as it is too dangerous to walk along the road.
- A flyover or tunnel for the current car crossing over train line.
- Permanent speed cameras along Dorchester Road.
- More businesses taking up space at the Technology Park

WS 36

WOULDN'T LIKE

Houses built without the proper infrastructure being included in plan - not to the detriment of existing residents

Houses built which destroy the countryside views in Wool

Houses that are not built in keeping with current housing styles

Huge amounts of social housing and a repeat of the Purbeck Gate estate

Cheap houses built or lots of flats

An increase in traffic, noise and pollution in Wool

An increase in anti social behaviour caused by influx of young people with nothing to do

WS 37

LIKE

WS 37

DON'T LIKE

Over development, ceasing to be a village and lack of capability to support current infrastructure adequately let alone new!!

WS 37

WOULD LIKE

Wool should lead from the front in terms of sustainable development and insist that wherever 'green' land is sold off for development that the to compensate for the impact the landowner/developer have to plant 10 new trees for each dwelling. Similar to the management of a SSSI where a trade off can be made to compensate for impact in one area.

WS 37	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Further development that cannot be sustained and is not needed for local people but will simply allow more people from outside the area to relocate here. This constant development is not sustainable and permitting is just a weakness.
WS 38	<i>LIKE</i>	Wool, everything. Lovely place to live. Bovington, kebab shop. And it's just the right distance away from Wool. East Burton, the river and the hall.
WS 38	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Nothing there all great.
WS 38	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Supermarket for Wool and that gym equipment Ian mentioned or an outright GYM!
WS 38	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Too much to mention, but wasted young lives (teenagers) hanging around smoking drugs. A gym might help.
WS 39	<i>LIKE</i>	I like the true sense of community. Wool is a very friendly village with all the essential amenities in walking distance. I moved from an urban area and would not like to end up back in the same situation again.
WS 39	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	I don't like the threat of large building sites leading to the loss of village status. The amount of traffic through the village is sometimes a problem. Also the speed of the traffic is not monitored very well. Lack of a decent bus service is frustrating.
WS 39	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	I would like to see a better bus service, possibly one to Dorchester. I would also like to see better maintenance of the footpaths.
WS 39	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	A large housing estate. This would bring with it so many problems in relation to public services. For example waste management and health services.

WS 40

LIKE

The fact that it is a local friendly community, with lots of history, and opportunities to support itself with the local shops and services.

A very good rail link.

Set in beautiful countryside with many interesting places to visit without travelling too far.

Lots of local community groups covering all aspects of hobbies, interests and pastimes.

A very useful community library and a busy community building.

Decent road links to the surrounding major towns.

WS 40

DONT LIKE

A tragically useless bus service that only runs during the holiday season.

A need for both adults and children to be made aware of the problems of littering in the community.

The often continuous noise from heavily laden tractor units roaring along the main road, sometimes for fourteen, and more, hours a day.

The threat of this lovely community being swamped by unnecessary housing development's.

WS 40

WOULD LIKE

One or more (vandal proof) public convenience to cater for people walking through the village.

A 20 mph speed limit through the congested parts of the village.

A 20 mph speed limit on tractors and hgv's along the length of Dorchester Road.

An improvement to the many damaged road surfaces in the village.

Regular trimming of trees and hedges that frequently block the footpaths around the village.

Revised timing of the level crossing barriers to prevent pedestrians and wheelchair users becoming trapped between the barriers.

Revised traffic control (possibly traffic lights) at the junction of Dorchester Road & Station Road.

WS 40

WOULDN'T LIKE

The overwhelming of this peaceful village by the building of an unwarranted number of new homes as proposed for the West side of the village. The damage to already threatened flora & fauna in our locality that will result during the building of and subsequent habitation of the proposed housing development. Additional overcrowding of our local schools. The likelihood of the existing drainage systems being overloaded as a result of the proposed new housing development. A significant increase in the number of vehicles used for commuting on our already overloaded roads as a direct consequence of lack of local employment for the inhabitants of the proposed new housing development.

`WS 41	<i>LIKE</i>	railway station bus service internet doctors dentist chemist butchers 2 general stores hardware store
`WS 41	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	the thought of 470 extra houses being built here
`WS 41	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	left as it is - no change
`WS 41	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	470 extra houses
WS 42	<i>LIKE</i>	The peace, countryside and wildlife. Trains direct to London and connecting lines.
WS 42	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Lack of buses to Bovington. The dangerous junction at wool train station.
WS 42	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Improvement to safety at the train station and better links between Bovington and wool. More community events. An NHS drop in centre. Good cafe with WiFi that's nice to spend time in
WS 42	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	
WS 43	<i>LIKE</i>	The sense of community, village atmosphere, country surroundings and scenery, neighbourly atmosphere, peace and quiet
WS 43	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Not enough things for young adults to do, this creating some issues of anti-social behaviour. Traffic congestion at the railway crossing by Wool station
WS 43	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	better bus service during the winter/all year. Improved facilities at Wool station, More police presence
WS 43	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Housing developments that don't have increased infrastructure to cope with more people and more vehicles. Expensive housing locals can't afford or second homes. Big supermarkets. 1 mile long queues of traffic waiting at the railway gates as a result of increased residents numbers
WS 44	<i>LIKE</i>	Peaceful, low crime rates, lovely area

WS 44	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Not much to do, so many churches and not enough neutral facilities. NIMBYS and there are many.
WS 44	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Transport is terrible Railway crossing is a nightmare the train horns are loud</p> <p>Sport centre with a pool or splashing park</p> <p>New school, Wool C of E is in a terrible place and having the playground next to a busy road that people speed on is very scary</p> <p>Smaller homes to retire to, larger homes for large families. Proper social housing</p> <p>Waitrose or M&S food hall</p>
WS 44	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	High rise flats, don't mind nice houses.
WS 45	<i>LIKE</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The rural environment. 2. The sense of community in a small village environment. 3. The access to country & coast, be it for walking, swimming or fishing. 4. The quiet nature of village life. 5. Access to larger towns & cities using the train services. 6. The quality of the small development along East Burton Road. 7. The whole "Jurassic" experience (if you could put that into words).
WS 45	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The significant housing developments over the last 15 years. 2. The poor quality of the housing development at Purbeck Gate. 3. The inadequacy of the NHS Practice to support the current local population. 4. The lack of NHS Dental practices due to the lack of UDAs provided to local communities by central government. 5. Lack of suitable parking facilities at Wool Station for the current population.

WS 45

WOULD LIKE

1. Sensible & sensitive housing developments to support the local community.
2. Provision of Housing for local residents that are truly affordable (circa £150K) and are not due to some misguided "National Formula".
3. The use of small pockets of land that can be used for local housing needs.
4. The use of small scale "local" builders to provide homes of suitable quality.
5. An agreed phasing of housing development that addresses local needs.
6. Innovation on the approach to delivering local housing for young and old - Example:- Perhaps a small number of "pod type" units that can be factory built, installed whole on a pre-prepared plot and fall within the "affordable" cost of £150K.
7. Significant enhancements to NHS Services to match the current population and it's ageing community.
8. Support from Parish & District Councils (and National Government) for local housing needs with a view to restricting new housing to people working close to the local environment.
9. That all Trains stop at Wool.

WS 45

WOULDN'T LIKE

1. Rampant, high volume housing developments that are inappropriate for the rural environment.
2. Housing that increases the "2nd home syndrome"
2. Increase in local population without the necessary scaling of infrastructure:- NHS, Dental, Schooling.
4. Any additional, new main roads.
5. Trains that do not stop at Wool but, nonetheless, cause traffic to halt as they pass by, unaware that their mission should be to deliver a service.
6. Increase in local population, out of proportion to local employment needs.

WS 46

LIKE

Easy access to a variety of walks in heathland, woodland and along the river
Close to the sea.
Good Community and low crime rate
Good schools and a Library
Everything in walking distance and a well-used recreation ground and children's play area
Good selection of different shops ; bakers, butchers, hardware, pharmacy (boots), hair dressers etc..
Two garages and two excellent pubs
Railway station with good service to London

Easy to get to towns; Wareham, Poole, Dorchester etc...

WS 46

DON'T LIKE

Heavy traffic in summer with congestion at level crossing Purbeck Gate not adopted Bad quality of building done in Purbeck Gate (garden walls falling down, plaster board coming away, poor drainage in gardens) Private roads in Purbeck Gate, done to reduce cost of building them. End up being bad quality with no one having responsibility for their upkeep
Parking problems at junction of Bindon Lane and Station Road and elsewhere.

WS 46

WOULD LIKE

For Wool to remain a Village.

Skate park and Youth Club

More doctors at the surgery, and quicker appointments

A better bus service to Dorchester, Weymouth

New houses to have more car spaces pre-home to prevent issues found with Purbeck Gate parking. Most people will be commuters.

Purbeck Gate adopted by the council.

All future building, unlike in Purbeck Gate, should address green issues regarding insulation and the use of solar panels etc. ensuring a low carbon footprint during and after construction.

Developers should also consider the construction of 2-3 story blocks of flats offering either affordable social housing or sheltered accommodation. If further development in some form goes ahead, every effort should be made to make it a flagship project for green energy.

Legal steps need to be taken to ensure that the landowner does not continue to have influence on a development which will benefit them in perpetuity.

WS 46	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Growth beyond supporting infrastructure Any development going ahead without a supporting infrastructure in place. Any development which does not fully integrate ecological concerns with planning (for example drainage, insulation of houses, surrounding environment etc etc). Houses built by the cheapest bidder. New houses to be built without a plan for integrating new family's into Wool, for example youth club, other clubs support services etc.... Traffic queues at the crossing, cars with engines left on.</p>
WS 47	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Good Community Good schools Everything in walking distance Good selection of different shops ; bakers, butchers, hardware, pharmacy (boots), hair dressers etc.. Railway station Close to the sea. Easy to get to towns; Wareham, Poole, Dorchester etc... 3 unique pubs in walking distance, each with there own character.</p>
WS 47	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Busy road, especially during school run with small children. Purbeck gate not adopted Bad quality of building done on Purbeck Gate (garden walls falling down, plaster board coming away, poor drainage in gardens) Private roads on Purbeck gate, done to reduce cost of building them. End up being bad quality roads and not looked after by anyone.</p>
WS 47	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>More doctors at the surgery, and quicker appointments A better bus service to Dorchester, Weymouth New houses to have more car spaces per-home to prevent issues found with Purbeck gate parking. Most people will be commuters. Purbeck gate adopted by the council. All future building to not include private roads or parks etc... For Wool to remain a Village. The Skate park to be updated.</p>
WS 47	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>New houses to be built without a plan for integrating new family's into Wool, for example youth club, other clubs support services etc.... Houses built by the cheapest bidder. Traffic queues at the crossing, cars with engines left on.</p>

WS 48	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>The easily accessible amenities. The community feel of the village. The friendly village it still remains to be even after a lot of new buildings.</p>
WS 48	<i>DONT LIKE</i>	<p>The bad state of the existing pavements and roads, due to my age I now have to use a mobility scooter to get around but am finding it increasingly difficult getting up and down pavements and the roads in general.</p>
WS 48	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Improvement of existing roads and pavements. Better bus service throughout the year not just summer months. Any new houses built to be 2/3 bed for downsizing and affordable homes for local families.</p>
WS 48	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Large unaffordable homes which would end up being bought as second or holiday homes. The loss of our friendly village community.</p>
WS 50	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Set in beautiful countryside</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Family friendly area - Great community vibe on event days - The train is great for commuting, travel and fun.
WS 50	<i>DONT LIKE</i>	<p>Lack of amenities i.e. shops, bars or restaurants.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Doctors Surgery is too small to cater to the needs of the residents. - Terrible lack of facilities for younger people. - Queueing at the train barrier is annoying. - Location of the fairground. Why use such a narrow space? Can't we use the field and put on a larger fair? - The roads need resurfacing in the residential areas. Especially Foley Lane etc..

WS 50	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>A bridge or underpass for the Trainline</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A new skatepark - Another Doctors Surgery - Better play facilities and shelter for the young people. - More outdoor events in the summer. - More bars and restaurants. - More shops
WS 50	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Not enough infrastructure to cater for all the new families coming to the area.</p>
WS 51	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>The friendly community feel it has as a village. The amenities that are in our village. Open green spaces. Good village schools.</p>
WS 51	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>The speed of traffic through the village, including inconsiderate parking. That some Purbeck Gate residents do not feel part of the community.</p>
WS 51	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Any houses built to have a good number of 2/3 bedroom properties for first time buyers and or downsizing. Sufficient parking at any new builds. Reduction in speed limit throughout the village in particular by each school - 20mph Green spaces. Old existing hedges to stay. Style of any new houses to fit with other close existing properties in each area to be built on. Any new development to bring the village community together. The D'Urberville Hall to continue to be brought up to date and used for new activities. A new larger library.</p>
WS 51	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Large properties built. New houses used as holiday or second homes. Loss of green spaces and hedges. More than 470 houses built and if the first group of houses don't sell stop any future planned build.</p>

WS 52	<i>LIKE</i>	I feel safe and my children feel safe here. I like the community feel and the fact that people know one another and look out for each other. The fact that we are so close to areas of outstanding natural beauty and there are so many people living in the area who really care about it. Locals! Families that have been here for generations.
WS 52	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The traffic in the area especially during the summer months. The pressure that the Dr surgery particularly in Wool appears to be under with so many patients.
WS 52	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Better / larger areas for young people to spend their time so they aren't hanging around the streets. Better shops or a larger shop, people have to travel a long way to do a grocery shop and the current shops will only be under more pressure.
WS 52	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	The countryside being ruined, more pressure on schools, shops and GP's. Increased traffic
WS 53	<i>LIKE</i>	Being three separate areas of housing they come together to make the ideal Parish, areas of housing with sufficient areas of green space between them. Green spaces that provides the community with recreational areas, space to breathe and ancient hedges that support a good biodiversity of wildlife. For a village such as Wool and our neighbours we are served by a main line rail service and have a good mix of amenities such as shops public houses multi faceted community hub that provides a library youth club and preschool facilities.
WS 53	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Speed of traffic through the area from all types of vehicles and drivers. Apart from the A roads all other roads in the Parish are not at all adequate for the heavy lorries and especially the large tractors and the associated plant they tow around at alarming speeds, this type of problem sadly goes unchecked due to the lack of enforcement. Lack of bus service to complement the rail service if this was improved it would help to ease congestion caused by car traffic held by the frequent operation of the rail crossing gates.
WS 53	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Any development that is likely to happen should be proportionate, in keeping with the areas, enhance existing areas and most importantly be for need not greed. I would like to see housing to assist the young people and families of the community to provide them with affordable housing ensuring that they can stay within the community and maintain village life as they know it.

WS 53	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Mass development that would mean destruction of village and community life that is quite strong in the Parish.</p> <p>Large number of houses built due to easy option as large areas of farmland released to build on.</p> <p>No guarantees of genuinely affordable housing, this could mean houses built would be out of reach of local people due to high market prices and low average wages and a high proportion could end up as second homes.</p> <p>No guarantees of improvement to infrastructure loss of organic farmland increased traffic problems</p>
WS 54	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Close friendly community with good local resources. Good level of charity and community events held that bring the village together.</p> <p>Good shops and good amount of green spaces.</p>
WS 54	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Lack of exercise classes. It would be nice to see the community halls being available for exercise classes etc in the evenings and not just focusing on activities for children.</p> <p>The medical centre is great but appears to be under resource. Getting appointments with a doctor can be challenging and leads to long delays.</p>
WS 54	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>I think there is space to accommodate an increase in housing in the local area but it would be good to see an increase in general community resources to match this. The doctors surgery is already stretched. The schools have limited capacity to increase numbers on roll. There is a limited number of shops and product prices are high. It would be great to see a mini supermarket (not Coop who appear to have a monopoly in Purbeck) It would be good to see additional resources being put into these areas possibly in the form of Section 106 agreements whereby the community can determine the use of the funds.</p>
WS 54	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>An increase in houses not mitigated by an increase in resources to support the community.</p>
WS 55	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>I love the community feel. Welcoming and areas of beauty so close by.</p>
WS 55	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Speed of traffic along for Hester road is extremely hazardous. Having speed cameras temporary in place does nothing because motorists will slow down before getting to it. There needs to be a permanent one to keep the residents and pedestrians safer</p>

WS 55	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	More council property for 3+ bedroom housing needs. Being on a waiting list for 7 years and paying extortionate private rental prices isn't nice. There is no security and living here is amazing apart from that. This is where we want to raise our children. Among diverse people from all walks of life.
WS 55	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Less tank drives past my home late at night. Sometimes they sound like their going to pop in for tea. Very loud.
WS 56	<i>LIKE</i>	Rural landscape
WS 56	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Transport - not enough buses for satellite communities.
		Access to medical services - GP surgeries are overcrowded with horrific waiting times for routine appointments; Emergency services can take hours to arrive due to traffic.
		Limited primary school places in "outstanding" rated schools.
WS 56	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Additional bus times Larger GP surgery (or another practice) More frequent Ofsted inspections
WS 56	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More housing without the additional infrastructure.
WS 57	<i>LIKE</i>	I enjoy the community feel, people are kind and always speak to you. I enjoy that the villages are quiet and that there are lots of activities for children in the area.
WS 57	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	There is not enough childcare options. If there are further property developments taking place, where will these children attend childcare? Who will pick up the slack? I have been looking for a setting in the local area to set up a nursery with no luck at this current time
WS 57	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	More childcare options. A place I can support parents with childcare so I can open up a nursery
WS 57	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Over population
WS 58	<i>LIKE</i>	Rural feel. River. Walking opportunities. Green. Excellent rail link. Free car parking at station
WS 58	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Shops. Uninspiring outdated supermarket. Some antisocial behaviour.
WS 58	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Better supermarket. Café

WS 58	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More social housing
WS 59	<i>LIKE</i>	I like because there is not much crime, and people are friendly
WS 59	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	I don't like the fact there is not enough shops for those who don't drive or are disabled and not real proper public transport and not enough activities for the younger children in the village of Wool maybe some one needs to do a youth club for the younger children that are 5 - 9 years
WS 59	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Well more shops are a must better public transport and something for the younger children
WS 59	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Places that cost the earth. I don't want to see housing being built if they are going to be for other people who do not live in the area or don't have family
WS 60	<i>LIKE</i>	I enjoy the rural and spacious feel to the area of Wool and East Burton. It is good to have a sense of living in a village rather than a over built up area. The meadows, green spaces and trees are an essential part of this atmosphere.
WS 60	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The infra structure is limited. There are problems with seeing a doctor. There are problems getting onto the main road because of all the traffic. Some of the internal roads are very poorly maintained. There are not enough buses. The pavement around Amber Hardware is appalling.
WS 60	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	An increase in buses to Wareham Weymouth and Dorchester. More doctors. Doctors available here on a Saturday morning. More labelled footpaths.
WS 60	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Any further housing development unless it is Affordable housing or council housing.
WS 61	<i>LIKE</i>	Community Library in Wool Feel of a local community, where people are known, e.g. returning customers in the hairdresser's (Jane's)

WS 61	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Traffic bottle necks past Spa. Increasing lack of parking at Wool station for those of us driving to use the train
WS 61	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	More facilities, e.g. a bigger supermarket so we don't have to travel/ rely on online shopping More frequent buses from Wool station to Lulworth so that young people can have mobility
WS 61	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	The proposed housing developments will ruin the rural character of Wool, and add pressure to existing road bottle necks.
WS 62	<i>LIKE</i>	I like the rural nature of the area particularly East Burton. It is situated near the main line railway and has close proximity to Poole, Dorchester and Weymouth providing easy access to those local towns. Wool has just sufficient facilities for the current population but more infrastructure must be included with any more local development.
WS 62	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The nature of recent development such as Purbeck Gate with houses backing onto each other and some coloured blue! which is completely out of character with established housing. Further development will only bring about further traffic in the area which, particularly in the Summer months, is very busy. The pinch points near the Spar Supermarket and a hindrance to free flow of traffic and in themselves create a danger to road users. I don't like the proposed development of the East Burton area and in particular the development of the fields between East Burton and the railway line.
WS 62	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	A bypass for Wool from East Burton Roundabout to the Wareham Road the far side of the railway crossing. Another doctors surgery which can cope with the proposed influx of population.
WS 62	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More development as currently shown by Purbeck Gate.
WS 63	<i>LIKE</i>	It is a very beautiful area, love the quiet life, Bovington is clean and mostly well looked after

WS 63	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The lack of competitive food shops or at least grocers! Living in Bovington our closest shops are the community store or the co-op in wool which aren't great with regards to variety and competitive prices. We need a supermarket to serve this area, it is not financially viable to have to drive an extra 30 minutes to do the weekly food shop! Online shopping doesn't guarantee that the fresh fruit and veg will be of good quality.
WS 63	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	As above
WS 63	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Nothing
WS 64	<i>LIKE</i>	How clean and quiet it is
WS 64	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The attitude and behaviour of the young people is appalling. Hanging around meadow Lane late at night and making noise, leaving rubbish everywhere.
WS 64	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	We need a gym so that those who commute to Bournemouth or further afield can have a membership on our doorsteps without having to go to Wareham after or before work.
WS 64	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More houses
WS 65	<i>LIKE</i>	The beauty. The quiet, the fact it's a village and not a town. It's ability to use a train line to busier places if you want to.
WS 65	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The small minded gossipers. How everyone knows your business. Not enough amenities for young people. How expensive travel is for them to attend activities that are put on by Wareham community centre.
WS 65	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Community spirit. More support for young people. More activities for Young People. More affordable Frequent bus service. To enable residents to attend amenities in Wareham. For example leisure centre. Less traffic especially commuters. Easier Appointment at Doctors surgery. A consideration for the reality of Housing development and the affect it will have on already pushed services.
WS 65	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More traffic. Less scenery. Limited housing development.
WS 66	<i>LIKE</i>	The surrounding country side and coast line.
WS 66	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The Train Gates! Traffic has now increased to a point where the gates are causing stress and inpeding the village. They go down so early. Also the Doctors is terrible for trying to get an appointment, worst doctors for this in dorset I bet.

WS 66	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Better Doctors and a Bridge over the railway line, they did it in Wareham, wont be easy but it's at the point where it needs doing.
WS 66	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More homes as the road network cant take it nor can the doctors deal with more people.
WS 67	<i>LIKE</i>	The community and the fact we have all the facility's in a little village.
WS 67	<i>DONT LIKE</i>	Roads are nightmare in wool. Everywhere you go there are cars parked on the road in places they shouldn't be making it hard to see around corners for on coming cars. Which could cause an accident. Especially just outside duck street on colliers lane. Cars always park there not thinking. Lots more houses now. Not same as use to be loosing the countryside of wool. And the doctors just can't cope now with the amount of people coming into the village.its a joke to get an appointment even when your requested to get one by a nurse 4 weeks in advance.
WS 67	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	More yellow lines in places. Traffic warden. Either make doctor surgery bigger with more staff or another doctors surgery.
WS 67	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More houses Holiday homes
WS 68	<i>LIKE</i>	I like how much has improved in bovington. Eg better things available at the shop, and new Greggs, since my move to moving there has also been a skate park added for children. Which is great for them.
WS 68	<i>DONT LIKE</i>	I think the play park needs updating, and having no regular public transport for the people who don't drive is an issue. Because it's a long walk for people and even longer for people less able to walk long distances. And teenagers can't get no where easily.
WS 68	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	I'd like to see a better play park, some transport, and even a face lift on all the shops as you drive in to bovington. (Where Chinese, and surestart are) it's looks a bit run down. Also be great if we had something to do inside with children. I.e soft play cafe? Up to date tennis courts? And possibly some local Charity shops?
WS 68	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	
WS 69	<i>LIKE</i>	The local facilities, the Durbeville Community Centre, the shops, pubs, access to the countryside and the transport links.

WS 69

DON'T LIKE

The queue at the level crossing. The poor quality of development and poor aesthetic of design at Purbeck Gate.

The empty shops and the amateurish sign over the take-away in Wool.

The often obstructed dangerous junction at Colliers junction with A352.

WS 69

WOULD LIKE

Sensitive development of strictly necessary housing, with a mix of types.

Developments taking account the landscape - profile of fields and the topography so it is not overbearing or ugly.

Decent green buffers and boundaries between developments to allow for people and also wildlife to move (green corridors etc).

Ecologically and environmentally friendly design. Renewable energy provision encouraged.

Tidy up the look of the shops on the A352

Easier access to Doctor's for appointments

The Dorset Innovation Park has land next to it that could be used for some of the proposed development (but they object because it is not in keeping).

WS 69

WOULDN'T LIKE

High rise development on the fields opposite Purbeck Gate. The DIP buildings take maximum height into account, so developers should do likewise.

Garish colours and poor materials visibly degrading on relatively new developments.

Concreting over everything - the wildlife is disappearing.

No new rank of shops when the existing shops need all the business they can get and need tidying up.

WS 70

LIKE

The shops are handy.

Its an easy walk with dogs to the countryside

Friendly people.

WS 70

DON'T LIKE

Long hold up's at the level crossing - hard to plan short trips.

Purbeck Gate is starting to look unloved, stained paintwork, rust from metalwork etc. The access for bin lorries often obstructed. Poorly designed - some of the houses at the back have tiny little windows, presumably so they didn't overlook future houses which is not now going to be built behind them.

The shops on Wool main road are struggling & looking run down.

It is hard to pull out of of Chalk Pit Lane/Colliers/ A352. Poorly designed triangle junction.

WS 70

WOULD LIKE

Improve the community centre.

More public toilets (the last lot were sold off)

Redo the Colliers/Chalk Pit/A352 junction

As hundreds of proposed houses are being built on farmland goes up fields on a hill, make it look attractive and keep some walkways and green space between houses and developments. There should be hedges and routes for wildlife and trees and shrubs to dampen sound coming off the road and coming off developments.

WS 70

WOULDN'T LIKE

Developments not taken on by the Local Authority because their paths and lights and roads don't meet the standards.

Squashed in housing with concrete everywhere.

Shops that undermine the ones already trying to make a living.

WS 71

LIKE

We live in Wool having moved here from Surrey 15 years ago. We like the community feel of Wool and the surrounding areas where we can walk our dog. Our neighbours are friendly and like to stop and talk to those we know.

I have made good friends and we all look after each other, something that doesn't happen in bigger towns.

Local facilities are good and there is, for the most part, something for everyone.

Wool is, on the whole, quiet and problem free and there is plenty of walking spaces and outdoor activities.

We have two excellent visitor centres with The Tank Museum and the renowned Monkey World and a great community centre at The D'urberville.

WS 71	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>There are a few problems which I can think of. Firstly, the field behind the D'urberville is regularly misused often this centres around the skatepark. There are few mornings when I walk there that there aren't several cans and bottles, food wrappers and general food waste. Just last week there were broken bottles - a hazard for children and dogs for a start not to mention whoever ended up clearing this up.</p> <p>We have had motor scooters riding over there and the car park is a regular hang out for youths and loud music from cars.</p> <p>The proposed new houses are a concern as the current infrastructure will not cope with the new traffic chaos let an already overcrowded surgery coping with new residents. A fact that seems to escape any local planning officers.</p>
WS 71	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Personally I would like to see the removal of the skate park and replaced with something everyone can use such as exercise equipment, although I daresay this will also be vandalised.</p> <p>A good effective neighbourhood watch scheme may be a good idea and a deterrent. Some better lighting over the field may also discourage bad behaviour.</p> <p>The parking outside Amber hardware and Taylors butchers. There are yellow lines but these tend to be ignored and creates a hazard on these corners particularly outside Ambers. This is especially frustrating as there car park to the rear</p>
WS 71	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>A new skatepark A new housing development</p>
WS 72	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Central Area</p> <p>Peaceful</p> <p>I Have Lived Here All My Life</p> <p>My Daughter Was Born And</p> <p>Raised Here</p>
WS 72	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Not Much To Do For Young Adults</p>

WS 72	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Better Public Transport Affordable housing perhaps from Pre existing houses Some roads need to be resurfaced
WS 72	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	100 plus new homes built with a doctor's surgery that can't cope with present volume of patients
WS 73	<i>LIKE</i>	friendly village good shops Recent improvements to village hall good pubs
WS 73	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Not enough for young people to do Too many old people on Parish Council Too many people complaining, not enough people actually doing anything
WS 73	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	New skatepark Better design for new houses More improvements at hall. Possibly extend it Affordable houses and houses for first time buyers i.e. small. Also small for old people to downsize
WS 73	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More houses of the wrong type More things built like the cycle path being justified by the DIP which is a white elephant
WS 74	<i>LIKE</i>	Good community spirit, although some village events are not well supported. Good local facilities: shops, library, doctors' surgery, railway station etc. Surrounded by pleasant countryside.

WS 74

DON'T LIKE

Sectarian primary school education (Catholic & Protestant - just like Belfast during the Troubles!)

Tourist attractions locally are proving a bit too popular, with ensuing traffic problems (could be alleviated with better public transport & walking/cycle routes).

WS 74

WOULD LIKE

More frequent, year-round bus services. West end of Wool now has no bus service at all, apart from school buses. Large army housing estate in Bovington has no bus service apart from the summer 55 which is of little value to residents.

The Parish Council could secure additional Community Transport journeys from Nordcat or Dorset Community Transport.

The station goods shed brought into use as a café or as a “Men’s Shed” workshop.

Public toilets needed, although these could be part of any goods shed development.

Completion of the foot/cycle path to Monkey World. Also footpaths/bridges across the river meadows need refurbishing.

Investigate whether north end of Cologne Rd could be opened up to provide a link to centre of Bovington, at least for pedestrians.

Community Solar Power project at the d’Urberville Hall – the roof could accommodate perhaps four times the number of panels, which could be co-owned by local residents.

More tree planting. Wild flower meadows rather than just grass on verges and embankments. A community garden project would be good if a suitable site can be found.

WS 74	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More than around 250 new homes for the village (470 sounds a bit much).
		Identikit, unimaginative new housing that doesn't feature the best environmental standards such as high thermal insulation, solar PV & HW, rainwater harvesting and e-vehicle charging points.
WS 75	<i>LIKE</i>	
WS 75	<i>DONT LIKE</i>	Lack of a regular bus service linking the 3 villages
WS 75	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	A better local bus service more visible policing
WS 75	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Any more development we haven't the infrastructure to cope
WS 76	<i>LIKE</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The countryside around the village. 2. The green space in the middle of the village (sports field). 3. The village is well connected with a main road and railway station. 4. The village at the moment is still a village and not a town. 5. Friendly village atmosphere.
WS 76	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The railway level crossing. It is dangerous and causes congestion which will only get worse as Wool expands. 2. The state of many pavements. The pavements in many parts of Wool are poorly maintained. they are uneven, pockmarked and have been poorly repaired by utility companies after they have finished working. An example is the pavement from the C of E School to the bottom of Quarr Hill. 3. There have been far too many weeds left growing on pavements and by the side of residential streets. This makes Wool look really uncared for and tatty. 4. The traffic calming (pinch points) along the Lulworth Road. They don't work! Cars just speed to get to the pinch points before the cars coming from the opposite direction. Plus this has made parking more congested by the shops. 5. The train station is an awful first glimpse of the village for visitors.

WS 76

WOULD LIKE

1. All new developments should only be affordable housing for Purbeck Residents.
2. More quality well paid local employment.
2. Double yellow lines along Colliers Lane. Cars parking along this road is not compatible with having it as a safe cycle route.
3. A bridge or underpass over/under the railway to accommodate the increases traffic from further development. This needs a proper long term solution.
4. A national supermarket in Wool. Local convenience stores are expensive and have a strangle hold on the less mobile residents of Wool and this could also help reduce car use for food shopping.
5. New housing needs more generous spacing apart and greenspaces. New developments that have been approved such as the Purbeck Gateway look too high density and a lot seem to be already looking shabby. Sadly new developments like this are tomorrows slums.
6. Better carparking facilities around the shops on Lulworth Road. In Summer this is an absolute nightmare.
7. More trees and flowering bulbs should be planted around the village which would improve the quality of life for residents and wildlife.
8. Village facilities e.g. schools, dental and GP access to get greater funding to go with village expansion.

WS 76

WOULDN'T LIKE

1. Large scale building of private housing.
2. More second homes.
3. Increased traffic travelling through the village.
4. High density housing.
5. Back gardens used as building plots.

WS 77	<i>LIKE</i>	Wool with East Burton is a typical English village with a well recorded history. A good range of facilities: shops with PO, pubs, schools, garages, churches, halls, library, surgery, dentist, transport links and open spaces. all of which are reasonably accessible on foot. Good access to open countryside, the rivers and close to the coast. Good community spirit. Bovington has a further Post Office (rarely any queues). There are also two free cash dispensers available 24/7.
WS 77	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Weeks wait for a routine appointment at the surgery. Dentist was NHS, now private. Congestion at the level crossings and East Burton Rd/Burton Rd and Moreton Rd used as rat run especially in the mornings. A general lack of footpaths along these roads. Overhangin vegetation and flooding. No footpath along Lulworth Rd. No free 24/7 cash facilities. Purbeck Gate. Not 'in keeping with the village' as we were led to believe.
WS 77	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	No further development. If it's inevitable, certainly none without adequate infrastructure made a priority. Traffic problems at level crossings sorted out Ban on HGVs using East Burton Rd/Burton Rd. More staff at the surgery. All footpaths better maintained throughout the year.
WS 77	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Further development.
WS 78	<i>LIKE</i>	Has had good local facilities, safe neighbourhood, mixed types of residences, good train links, less traffic congestion than other urban areas, surrounding countryside and close proximity to the coast and open areas
WS 78	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Never ending development, infill, back land developments, loss of character of the area that we moved to. Increased traffic, congestion, sometimes can't get to our own driveway. Irregular council services, minor thefts, increasingly overstretched surgery with declining service levels. Complete lack of respect for the views of long standing residents with overriding support for developers regardless of the impact on existing (council tax paying) residents. Council policy seems to be driven by overriding financial objectives.

WS 78	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	More structured plan for permissible development levels within existing village, constraints on infill and back land developments, more balance and consideration given to the interests of those who have made the area their home for many years. Improved schools, GP surgery, and parking provisions, more consideration for the elderly and their safety within the community.
WS 78	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Every available scrap of land used for development because the area is easier to develop in that most of Dorset. Road and driveways so constricted that roads become rat runs with cars parked everywhere. Loss of character properties demolished and replaced with small boxes in the relentless tide of development and drive for financial gain by builders and opportunists. Obliteration of the character of the existing village.
WS 79	<i>LIKE</i>	It is a quiet place to live and relatively safe. The house prices are more affordable than in some nearby places. It has a train station and it is easy to get to places like Dorchester and Bournemouth. There are nice walks and places to cycle within the surrounding countryside. There are many clubs and groups to join that make a difference to the community.
WS 79	<i>DONT LIKE</i>	I do not like the high level of traffic on the main road and the pollution this causes. There is a lack of facilities for younger people , both indoor and outdoor. There is a lack of sports facilities like tennis or table tennis. There is only a small youth club for young people and there does not appear to be enough things for them to do in the evenings. There is a lack of convenient buses to places like Dorchester and Swanage, especially in the evenings, for people who have difficulty using the train. There is a lack of a supermarket of the same size as in Wareham. There is a lack of cycle paths.

WS 79	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	I would like additional sports facilities like table tennis, tennis and facilities for younger people. I would like a larger food shop/ supermarket with more choice for fish, vegetables, fruit. I would like improvements to the Durberville centre to bring it up to a high standard (e.g. new kitchen and bar areas) to encourage visiting theatre groups, musicians and film events to bring more live events to Wool. Cycle racks at the SPAR shop and outside the chemists. A community magazine, possibly online, (several other villages have one). New areas of woodland and countryside to be set aside that can be used for social events like picnics, walking and cycling and to improve health. These areas should be designed to encourage wildlife by planting trees, bushes flowers etc. I would like to see more trees planted in the village to encourage wildlife. More cycle paths to encourage cycling instead of driving short distances. I would like to see a building that is capable of holding art exhibitions by local artists and crafts people.
WS 79	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	I would not like more housing built unless it is accompanied by additional facilities, such as sports facilities, for young people, more cycle paths and more facilities for social events, both indoor and outdoor. I would not want more car parks to be built in Wool unless there are improvements to the social and sports facilities.
WS 80	<i>LIKE</i>	Accesbility to transport etc. Rural area good for nature including water meadows, woodland and heath. Good shops especially the hardware store. Good community facilities including village halls and reasonable sport facilities. Good employment facilities nearby.
WS 80	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Piecemeal planning since the 1930's ! The village has an urban feel. Traffic queues at level crossing. Wool CofE primary school is not fit for its purpose as virtually nil sport facilities.
WS 80	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Any new development must have plenty of green space and of quality architecture. New primary school with good sport facilities. Redesign the level crossing to stop traffic build up. Better parking facilities at station preferably on the north side of the station. More funding to be made available for community facilities. Public toilet facilities.
WS 80	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	URBAN style development ! Bypass across water meadows. Large supermarket.
WS 81	<i>LIKE</i>	The ruralness, open countryside, small friendly community feel.

WS 81	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Road noise. Vehicles driving fast along East Burton rd to beat queues at level crossing. Dog poo on Water Meadow Lane
WS 81	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Traffic calming along East Burton rd. Hedges to block road noise at Wool bridge and by Giddy green roundabout. More Dog bins.
WS 81	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Would not want any more than the minimum possible new houses. Houses should be built on edges of existing towns, not in villages else it will put more cars on roads as people have to drive to towns for supplies etc.
WS 82	<i>LIKE</i>	LIKED clean air and surrounding countryside.
WS 82	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Traffic backing up caused by level crossing, in summer months even worse. Large tractors & trailers rushing round the area, speed limit being disregarded, these should be restricted to 20MPH. Congestion caused by the calming measures along the High Street. Lack of respect for double yellow lines especially Chalk Pit Lane, Dorchester Road, Colliers Lane, car park area, never seen anyone being asked to move especially vans & lorries, or even being fined! Worried about the backing up of water all round the area, even more to come from housing development planned.
WS 82	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Self build building plots for local people, so that they have a chance of affordable housing. Road improvements and monitoring of double yellow lines & dangerous parking, this will only get worse with more housing. More Doctors for existing residents . Schools to cope with the increase due when estate is built.
WS 82	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Anymore building till the effect of the existing plans have on the environment, People making decisions with no knowledge of local conditions & natural water drainage, and the effect of the level crossing backing up traffic causing a bad effect on air quality.

WS 83

LIKE

Relatively undeveloped but near good road and rail links across and out of Dorset.
Good local shops especially the Hardware Shop, the Butchers and a choice of takeaways both in Wool and Bovington.
Still has two garages and three Public Houses.
Traffic is an occasional problem due to delayed trains and remote barrier controls.
Relatively mild weather and climate.
Good sense of community and activities.
Choice of primary schools.
Housing costs relatively low for Purbeck.
Reasonably good footpaths and country walks nearby.
Near to the coast for seaside visits.

WS 83

DON'T LIKE

Growing through traffic and associated noise.
Regular motorcycle 'bikers' abusing speed limits.
Increasingly strong and constant odours from nearby intensive dairy unit at Newburgh Farm.
Like rest of Purbeck split hospital healthcare especially from Bournemouth in terms of speed of emergency and regular journeys.
Declining bus services but not surprising due to lack of public support!
More frequent traffic disruption due to staged events aka cycle races, Bestival and Tank fest etc.
Lack of low cost housing for young start up families (daughter had to move away elsewhere).
Sporadic vandalism and lack of local Police presence on the ground.
Littering by both local miscreants and those passing through discarding from cars etc.
Poor cross connection of Southwest Train services at nearby towns and state of trains.
Increase in dog fouling especially by non local dog owners.

WS 83

WOULD LIKE

A garden village if and when the addition of 470 homes within the 500 limit recommended by the last Parish Council development appraisal was carried out.

New homes to be sustainable and include heat pump technology and Photo Voltaics as standard.

Any new developments should include green spaces placed strategically between existing housing to soften the impact on present housing.

Lower cost units to attract and keep the population young at heart.

Certain number of combined self employment/housing units for growth of SME's, the DIP site has been limited to big business and small SME's effectively barred for no good reason where they could be easily integrated with the proposed DIP plan.

The above policy does not include provision of small freehold industrial units to attract local artisan and cultural growth or opportunities.

MORE TREES please and natural meadows, with new woodland areas not rehashing old ones.

Improved rail services linked to better infrastructure in the Wessex Region ie west to Exeter and north to Salisbury.

Better facilities at the railway station including public toilets and or a café.

Retirement complex to include sheltered low cost chalets and progressively linked care home.

More footpaths but also sensibly combined with some cycle routes. An extended north/south Bovington Wool path via Whitemead Caravan Park.

Traffic calming and reduction of speed limits to 20mph in selected areas.

Improved traffic management at Wool Level crossing integrated with E Burton Rd & Lulworth Rd with traffic lights to cut down crossing abuse and minor RTA's.

Diversion of treated effluent away from R Frome via redundant AEA outfall to Arish Mell.

The village needs better young local childcare/nursery since past closures

WS 83	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Developer led development</p> <p>Housing beyond the 500 limit.</p> <p>Off the shelf poor standard high density bulk housing.</p> <p>Any closures of existing shops and SME's.</p> <p>Closure of local schools, youth facility or library.</p> <p>NO MORE BRIGHT LIGHTS. Perimeter street lighting on A352 needs to be timed.</p> <p>Increase of through traffic.</p> <p>Loss of any more railway staff or closure of booking office at Wool Station.</p>
WS 84	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>friendly people</p> <p>easy access to countryside and beautiful places</p>
WS 84	<i>DONT LIKE</i>	<p>traffic at level crossing</p> <p>the crossing near the butcher's in Wool needs sorting out, it is not safe</p> <p>not enough buses or similar public transport</p>
WS 84	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>More public transport eg. buses</p> <p>The crossing near the butchers addressed somehow. Maybe a roundabout?</p> <p>More amenities for young people</p> <p>Green houses (environmentally friendly not pink or blue like Purbeck Gate)</p> <p>truly affordable houses</p> <p>better use of the sports field</p>
WS 84	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>A ban on dogs on the sports field</p> <p>Too many big houses built we need smaller stater homes</p>
WS 85	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>A (mostly) quiet semi-rural location with easy access by road and rail to Dorchester, Weymouth, Poole and beyond. Easy access to Dorset's coast and inland areas.</p>

WS 85	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Flagrant disregard for speed limits with aggressive use of local roads as rat runs, or race tracks. This is a problem that appears to be exacerbated by the extended closure of the level crossing since it has been remotely operated. The absence of any enforcement seems to draw a particular community to race on the local roads - this is clearly audible on any summer evening - all within earshot of Dorset Police HQ....</p> <p>Poor pedestrian access from East Burton to Wool - the pavement is very narrow, overgrown to the point where one has to walk in the road and peters out at its West and East extents. This is compounded by the previously mentioned aggressive driving and disregard for speed limit.</p>
WS 85	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Robust enforcement of speed limits on all roads. Traffic is a blight on this semi-rural community. It disturbs its sense of place as a village and displaces it to merely an obstacle to be negotiated as part of a commute. However, focusing solely on the A road will further displace traffic towards East Burton Road so proper thought must be applied to a solution that encourages responsible driving on all local roads.</p>
WS 85	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Large scale development that is inappropriate for the area and its limited employment opportunities - this will lead to it becoming either a dormitory community for commuters or a retirement community.</p>
WS 86	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Rural communities, surrounded by farm landscapes, low levels of street lighting. Plenty of open spaces for playing, walking etc</p>
WS 86	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Difficult in making a doctor's appointment. Large out of keeping housing estate.</p>
WS 86	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Larger grocery shop with better parking. A bank.</p>
WS 86	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Large expensive housing that locals can't afford or more housing without the appropriate infrastructure in place first.</p>

- WS 87** **LIKE**
1. It's compact size.
 2. There is nothing architecturally exciting about the housing stock in Wool, but I do like the harmonious and comfortable way buildings sit alongside each other be they single or double storey detached or semi-detached etc. Purbeck Gate however, is a notable exception to this; it simply clashes with earlier buildings.
 3. The closeness and ease of access to open spaces.
- WS 87** **DON'T LIKE**
1. The existing traffic congestion which is bound to get worse as house building takes place.
 2. The lack of suitable frequent public transport to other parts of the County.
- WS 87** **WOULD LIKE**
- The following comments apply to the new housing that is to be built.
1. That ALL new housing is sympathetic and blends in well with existing housing (but not in the style of Purbeck Gate).
 2. The maximisation of secure green spaces for wildlife including wildlife corridors.
 3. The necessary drainage being provided to mitigate against existing flooding problems which will only become more pressing in the future.
 4. Sympathetic screening using mature trees etc. for those living on Chalk Pit Lane/Oakdene Road etc. from the new build.
N.B. 2, 3 and 4 above could be met by a wide green hedge/green barrier together with an open ditch nestled against the existing boundaries on Chalk Pit Lane/Oakdene Road etc. and running from Dorchester Road to the top of the hill.
 5. Provision of the appropriate infrastructure improvements.
- WS 87** **WOULDN'T LIKE**
- The following comments apply to the new housing that is to be built.
1. NO housing above the 470 specified in the Local Plan.
 2. NO additional shopping outlets that will fragment the village.
 3. NO road or footpaths against existing boundaries of houses on Chalk Pit Lane/Oakdene Road etc
 4. ALL new build to be no higher than two stories.

WS 88

LIKE

1. Size and rural village feel.
2. Relatively low light pollution and set within a scenic landscape and environment; good access to open spaces and countryside.
3. Diverse wildlife with frequent sightings of animals and birds in gardens, etc.
4. Easy access to railway station; good community amenities and range of shops.
5. Previous developments have been on a smaller scale and styles in line with existing types of housing, with the exception of Purbeck Gate in Wool which has nothing to commend it in terms of aesthetics.

WS 88

DON'T LIKE

1. Traffic congestion and associated pollution that will only increase, especially around railway crossing.
2. Difficulty getting on to Dorchester Road at peak times that will only increase.
3. Poor bus service to other parts of the county.
4. Wool is the target for a disproportionate share of housing development for the parish given the lack of equivalent infrastructure in East Burton and Bovington.
5. The parish is becoming an overspill for Poole and Bournemouth with resultant increased movement of people and congestion.
6. Existing flooding and drainage issues have not been properly addressed that gives little confidence for any future needs, especially given the proposed large scale development.

WS 88

WOULD LIKE

1. Infill first before development of green spaces; development in the right places, ie close to existing amenities and services, and minimising congestion on Dorchester Road.
2. Housing styles to fit with existing dwellings, ie no more than 2 storeys and not another Purbeck Gate.
3. Protection of privacy of residents most affected by proposed new development, ie from being overlooked by new housing on elevated positions, light pollution, noise, eg screening, noise baffling, footpaths and roads away from existing housing boundaries.
4. Preservation of green spaces and mature trees for wildlife, including wildlife corridors within new developments. A full environmental impact assessment is required.
5. Necessary drainage and flood protection in place to address existing issues and mitigate increased risk to residents and the environment due to large scale development, including run off.
6. Supporting infrastructure commensurate with the size of the new "village".
7. The correct mix of social and affordable housing; restriction on second homes (St Ives issue).
8. Residents MUST BE key stakeholders in any future consultation.

WS 88

WOULDN'T LIKE

1. The opposite to the points above.
2. Overdevelopment such that the village identity is lost and communities become fragmented.
3. Wool (and the parish) should not pick up a share of the as yet unallocated 900+ houses in addition to the 470 specified in the local plan. Wool seems to be a soft target for this; leave this issue for the new Dorset County Local Plan due in 2024.
4. Increased noise, especially from road traffic.
5. Creep in numbers of houses from the 470 in the plan and arbitrary changes to the plan by landowners that were not subject to consultation with residents, eg the addition of a care home, care units, village hub, etc.

WS 89

LIKE

Formerly a happy community, with parishioners freely giving their time to support the community for the good and benefit of all - truly a great place to live and work.

WS 89	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	When my family first moved here (in 1946!), it was a friendly, open and happy (rural) society, but over the years, mainly due to developer-led house-building not based on evidenced need, the parish has been transformed into an urban area and now is rapidly becoming a 'dormitory town' with many residents not interested in sustainable development. Further planned development will only exacerbate this dismal process which is not helped by statements from the Local authorities such as "Wool is an area ripe for development because it is the only area in Purbeck that has a railway station and an Army Camp!". Previous planning exercises (eg 'Planning for Real' and the 'Parish Plan' have clearly shown that the Parish wishes to grow and develop, but in a sustainable manner, with due attention payed to contemporaneous provision of infrastructure. The Parish is 'creaking at the seams'!
WS 89	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Sustainable development and housing, based initially on the properly evidenced need for current parishioners and the sensible generation of employment, initially at least, better suited to the skill sets of the parishioners, with a longer-term plan to provide apprentice training schemes in light industry for local youngsters to help develop a sustainable and happy community.
WS 89	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Unsustainable and greed-based development of more and more houses without any supporting infrastructure.
WS 90	<i>LIKE</i>	Beautiful, quiet, rural setting with amenities/shops within walking distance. Lovely neighbours and friendly community. Has a mainline rail station to London and also a bus stop. Wonderful church family and thriving village hall.
WS 90	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Increase in crime - ie burglaries, lack of Police presence. Our surgery appears to be struggling (lack of staff plus increase in mental health needs) and increase in population.

WS 90	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Surgery with more time and facilities open 24/7 and not having to rely on tel 111 out of hours... they too are very stretched. A dedicated hub for mental health and social problems.</p> <p>A police presence (an office locally or a number to ring locally) patrols round problem areas in the evening - not have to rely on phoning a central number and never getting through. i appreciate they too are busy, more and more people more and more pressure on struggling system.</p> <p>A place for young people to meet and hang out every day....</p>
WS 90	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>More houses bringing more people, more social deprivations, more people with mental health issues and social problems without the facilities for them...all this puts more and more pressure on an already struggling system</p>
WS 91	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>In general a good community spirit</p>
WS 91	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>1Traffic congestion,ie level crossing 2Environs of Williams Bakers 3Environs of Ironmongers although brilliant shop .whole area shoddy development 4 4Frequent excessive farm effluent smells</p>
WS 91	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Provision of footpath Lulworth Road Are there sufficient Allotments ie in new developments? More tree planting Incentives to recycle plant material at home ,ie DO NOT use diesel lorries for collecting</p>
WS 91	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>More development Traveller facilities provision</p>
WS 92	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>The peace, apart from the train horn, Clean air, Easy access to where I live, Surrounded by the countryside, Great dog walking by the river, Friendly Community, Good local services</p>

WS 92	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>The train horn</p> <p>Cars coming out from the High Street / Lulworth Road on to the A352 not waiting till the road is clear to turn right over the railway line. (I've had several very near misses at this junction.)</p> <p>Cars speeding on the A352 through Wool</p> <p>Greater traffic caused by more housing</p> <p>Wellbridge practice at present 14 days waiting for an appointment, worse with extra population</p> <p>Car parking at existing shops is difficult and will become worse with increase in population</p>
WS 92	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>A large supermarket with car park</p> <p>A new and much larger GP Surgery / Dental Centre</p> <p>More traffic calming measures on A352</p> <p>A study on how to prevent further accidents at the A352 / Railway Crossing / High Street junction</p> <p>Improved drains and sewerage system</p> <p>Improved Telephone and Broadband infrastructure to support larger population</p>
WS 92	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Social housing</p> <p>Over crowding</p> <p>Traffic congestion</p> <p>Increased noise</p> <p>The spoiling of a beautiful and peaceful dwelling place.</p>
WS 93	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>The access to some beautiful beaches and countryside. Easy reach to main towns.</p>
WS 93	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Lack of facilities. Mainly for children and young people. I feel some of the bigger businesses and council should be contributing to the local area more. For example I read recently that the tank museum received £120000 in the last 14 years from heritage funding from the lottery but still charge the best part of a family of four £40 to get in, not to mention the money that is made from event days. Also lack of funding for schools and medical facilities. We don't seem to invest in the future of our children.</p>

WS 93	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Better facilities. For example more up to date skatepark, ball sport facilities. As an example have a look at corfe Mullen recreation ground. It makes woofs facilities look like something from medieval times. More funding for local schools, investing in our children and young people. Better medical access, I think there needs to be some form of hospital between here and Wareham.
WS 93	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Becoming to populated that the current infrastructure won't cope. Big amounts of money going on silly things like the bridge going over the railway line in Wool, where I don't think I've seen a single person use it.
WS 94	<i>LIKE</i>	Rural, quiet, the streams and river, proximity to coast
WS 94	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Lack of trees in the village, poor architecture on the whole
WS 94	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	The heart of the neighbourhood plan should be the health and wellbeing of its residents. That's physical and mental wellbeing. And so we need accessible quiet green spaces with plenty of trees for walking and resting. We need better cycle ways (not bicycle symbols under parked cars), many more footpaths. We need more built sports facilities. And improved building aesthetics and efficiency. New buildings can work much better for their inhabitants as well as complementing the built environment.
WS 94	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Any more bland bungalow development. Anything like the D'Urberville.
WS 95	<i>LIKE</i>	Closeness to local attractions Relatively close knit neighbourhoods
WS 95	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Traffic & lack of parking Groups of older youths making a mess in and around play areas
WS 95	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Continuation of the cycle path between the station & Burton Cross Roundabout Better play area/facilities in Bovington Charging points for electric cars
WS 95	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Retirement flats, 2nd home owners.
WS 96	<i>LIKE</i>	I like living in this peaceful rural setting, with access to local shops, buses and railways.
WS 96	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Nothing in particular
WS 96	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	I am pretty much content.

- WS 96** **WOULDN'T LIKE** Our green space being developed. Having said that, I will not object to homes being built for people in need of homes, provided that the building is of homes which give rise to zero greenhouse gas emissions.
- WS 97** **LIKE** Wool is still a village it is very friendly and still feels safe.
The Railway makes travelling possible even if you cannot drive.
It is possible to get all basic requirements within the village shops and that is similar for Bovington
There is a good local Taxi service
The social activities within Wool and East Burton are good and varied.
- WS 97** **DONT LIKE** The traffic congestion throughout the Wool and East Burton.
The hold ups at the level crossings.
The amount of litter despite lots of bins.
The fact that Purbeck Gate was built to such a poor standard that the roads are still not adopted by the council, flooding still has not been sorted and insufficient parking makes extremely life difficult. This is not about the people but the quality of the development. I understand that big developers have their own building inspectors and are not overseen by the local council.
I do not see why Monkey World should not contribute to the cost but it is extremely dangerous for the people walking along the road beyond the Bovington junction. There should be a footpath there.
A BIG PROBLEM IS THE COST OF PURCHASING HOUSING FOR LOCAL PEOPLE

WS 97

WOULD LIKE

I would like to see more 1 and 2 bedroom properties provided for local families with prices reflecting local wages CLT's would be excellent.

Arne Cottage, Dorchester Rd is 1/3 of an acre (owned I believe by Spetisbury Builders (R Drax's brother).

The Pit between the New Buildings footpath and Lower Hillside Road.(Weld Estate land)

The Dairy site which could be used for housing or a better small Business Park.

Hyde Place has room for more bungalows and, if it had a warden again, house more retired (over 65/70) locals who do not need nursing care.

All of the above should have strong legal restrictions applied to them so they are for local families, there should be a mix of rental, shared ownership, self build and affordable. They should not be able to be sold to make a profit.

The St Ives Agreement should apply to the whole of Wool Parish, with possibly an exception for Army personnel at Bovington.

Any property development should provide at least 2 car parking places per property.

WS 97

WOULDN'T LIKE

No supermarket as this could lead to the loss of existing local shops.

Internet Shopping is available.

There is an existing village hub so there is no need for a replacement which would be outside the centre of the village.

I am not in favour of a Care Home as this seems to me a way to justify more housing. At the Planning Inspectors Meetings, which I attended, it was suggested it could be individual units!

WS 98

LIKE

I like that it is a pleasant village atmosphere, where people walk and most greet you with a cheery hello even if you don't know them, it is a friendly place. They are happy, contented residents. There are many amenities that suit the requirements of the existing residents. Trains, buses, a variety of shops, two pubs and five churches, but it is still a rural/country community.

I want the community to stay this way.

- WS 98** ***DON'T LIKE*** The increase in on-road parking in general, and lack of parking for some facilities, causing congestion at those places. Queuing traffic, particularly for the level crossing. The infill development and Purbeck Gate development has already put pressure on the existing facilities. At holiday and peak times these are at full capacity. The schools have already been expanded to a capacity that causes traffic and parking problems, frustrating and endangering local people. Delivery Lorries to existing commercial establishments add to these problems particularly as we are on a through route to the coast and other holiday attractions. We can't change our location but need not exacerbate problems.
- WS 98** ***WOULD LIKE*** Improvements to the infrastructure without encroaching on the village atmosphere. Some modest development to provide for the local community and their families. But these to be in keeping with the original village style of properties, smaller bungalows and semis but not terraced properties with no or little parking space. Included within any development, the extra amenities to cater for that additional population of the village.
- WS 98** ***WOULDN'T LIKE*** I do not want overdevelopment to pander to rich landowners who use government and national trends to justify their greed and to overdevelop in rural communities. So they overload existing facilities and services and infrastructure (I.e. Roads, Gas, Water, Electricity, Communications, Broadband, Transport, Flood prevention, Health care, Education), decimating the community.
Certainly any properties built should NOT have any encumbrances on them to financial benefit to land owners or builders. Things like ground rent or paying to extend the like of what was reported about Persimmon Homes some years ago. See current build complaints from buyers.
If there has to be massive development it should be a separate entity providing all the infrastructure and amenities. Maybe like Poundbury or Milton Keynes, and government funded or sponsored.

WS 99

LIKE

1. Village life and community spirit
2. There is a train station
3. There is a bus service
4. Choice of shops and Public Houses
5. A large GP Practice with added facilities
6. Good choice of Primary schools
7. Play Park is good and well serviced
8. The village hall, The D'Uberville
9. The tradition of the village Fair that comes every year
10. Many organised activities suitable for all ages
11. There is an excellent Postal service

WS 99

DON'T LIKE

1. Speeding traffic especially along Lulworth Road where there is no footpath where young people can feel safe to walk a visit the Play Park
2. People using the Drove as a public toilet as there is no provision for the thousands of tourists that come here
3. The confusion of the markings along the road from the railway to Macville Avenue, the many visiting drivers simply do not understand what they should do, there should at least be arrow markings
4. The road outside the Black Bear causes much confusion
5. When Bestival is approaching the increase in speeding traffic is now getting unbearable. This year there was a significant increase in delivery lorries
6. Philip Trim very large tractors and huge trailers speed along Lulworth Road and are so wide that there is bound to be a serious accident before long
7. There is often a foul smell on hot days in particular
8. There is no safe way for the many travellers who walk along Lulworth Road heading to The Jurassic Coast

WS 99	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There should be the return of tennis courts in Wool 2. A must to have public toilets 3. A police presence as the Headquarters is not that far away 4. More information for the many visitors to this area who are looking for places to go 5. 20mph signs to protect speeding traffic near the two schools 6. A better service by the Rail network who operate the crossing gates as these gates are often closed for more than 10 minutes 7. The return of the Parish magazine
WS 99	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No more houses to be built as this will ruin a Dorset village, there is a high volume of traffic already and the surgery and pharmacy are very busy now 2. Not to see any animals kept in barns and not allowed out into open fields 3. No reduction in playing fields for any development 4. Not to close the rail station 5. Not to loose the regular bus service 6. Not to allow these small villages to be over developed 7. Not to allow the environment to be affected by any future changes 8. To avoid the destruction of trees in this area 9. To maintain the current farmland and to be able to view animals in these fields
WS 100	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Good sense of local community. Far enough away from Poole, Weymouth and Dorchester to feel "remote" but close enough to allow travel to larger facilities. Rail connectivity is good.</p>
WS 100	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Not enough local facilities, no real village "centre". The shops are they are are too spread out and therefore do not give a sense of a heart to the village.</p>

WS 100	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>A central communal space.</p> <p>More housing, but with it facilities, shops, cafes, open spaces in close proximity that brings people together. Additional housing with extra people will naturally bring additional facilities but thsi must be part of a coordinated plan.</p> <p>The main issue with additional housing will be the increased traffic this brings and the possible congestion caused when the rail crossing is closed. A solution needs to be found that stops the village from becoming gridlocked when the crossing barriers are down.</p>
WS 100	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>blocks of flats and nursing homes. Though they have their place. Housing needs to be mixed use private and H/A with affordability for residents within Purbeck being a key priority. The recent development of a rest home and commercial premises in Upton could be seem as a model for the future.</p>
WS 101	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>All three communities are well served and close to local amenities The rail provision is good as are the road links to the nearby towns The Boots chemist is great at linking with Wool surgery (that is overpressed yet does its best) Great Waste Disposal teams/collectors</p>
WS 101	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Tanks on the public roads that are a polluting danger with noise levels that are ear splitting Footpaths are not well marked in some cases Speed limits are often broken - East Burton Road and Burton Road are a danger to walkers</p>
WS 101	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Big efforts to protect the natural environment, care to encourage tree planting and establish havens for wild life Cycle ways as on the road from Dorchester A safe walk established to Heathlands Vet (at present very dangerous with no foot path)</p>

WS 101	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Any more housing that is,for most local, younger people unaffordable. The Barratt Estate has been a great success yet proposals for further building will lead to big profits for the developers but will be of little use in housing first time buyers
WS 102	<i>LIKE</i>	Feel safe. Know the area. Range of shops. Train service. Having a large playing field. Our Doctors surgery. Choice of schools. Having the choice of pubs and a Legion. Availability of religious support.
WS 102	<i>DONT LIKE</i>	Teenagers congregating at the Durberville with nothing to do. Broadband speeds not consistent. Cars parked on Colliers Lane (top of junctions, on the bend and by car parks) East Burton Rd especially by Lawrence View. Dog fouling especially on the playing field. Too many dog owners pretending to pick up! Excessive train hooting and sometimes very long delays at the crossings. Lack of bus services.
WS 102	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Pelican crossing from Purbeck Gate cross Dorchester Rd. Mini roundabout on Dorchester Rd - entrance to Purbeck Gate. Enforce responsibility for dog fouling. Make areas designated for sport and all recreational play dog-free zones and enforce a no-tolerance policy. Footpaths to encourage safe walking, promote better health policy. More rubbish bins. Plant more trees. Footpath to Monkey World (look after our tourist income). A proactive Youth Club
WS 102	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	High rise buildings. Cars parked haphazardly. East Burton Rd used like a "rat run"
WS 103	<i>LIKE</i>	Local shops, takeaways, pubs, library etc Railway Football club Playing fields Rural community with lots of footpaths
WS 103	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Inadequate Doctors surgery - very difficult to get an appointment Wait times at the level crossings are sometimes excessive Expensive housing

WS 103	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Retain green spaces and organic farming</p> <p>Some sort of health facilities, gym etc</p> <p>Keep the three villages separate, so keep the fields between Wool and East Burton</p> <p>More well paid jobs - perhaps on the Business Park</p> <p>Some genuinely affordable housing - youngsters are having to move away as they cannot pay the prices for most of the current housing stock</p>
WS 103	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>More large estates, especially as there appears to be no funding for improving the infrastructure</p>
WS 104	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>I like that theirs a sense of community. We have beautiful scenery and theirs a rail link available.</p> <p>I also like that theirs accessible services, for example the library, local groups, and community rooms.</p>
WS 104	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>I dislike the anti social behaviour.</p> <p>Their is a lack of services for young people.</p> <p>I dislike the fact that we have a problem with parking in the village or at least a lack of it.</p> <p>For me their is a lack of businesses locally that serve the entire village. We don't need another cafe or another sandwich shop.</p>
WS 104	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>I would like to see a green grocers - if I had the funds to start a business myself then I would. We could have better sourced fruit and veggies and less packaging.</p> <p>I would like to see a better bus service for OAP's with passes.</p> <p>We need affordable housing, it's so difficult for younger families to get onto the property ladder.</p> <p>I believe we will need another primary school and we need more GP's if the surgery is already big enough.</p> <p>I would like to see more areas for young people developed. We have Parks and a skate park but some young people don't want to hang around those areas.</p>

WS 104	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>I wouldn't like to see a large supermarket or a fast food chain in our community - it wouldn't be fair on smaller businesses.</p> <p>I also wouldn't like to see another pub, we have 4 close by drinking establishments, more than enough for a village of this size.</p>
WS 105	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>The access to the countryside. Dog friendly places to walk. The butchers, hardware store and deli and particularly the Bovington shops.</p> <p>The park in wool and the skatepark in Bovington.</p> <p>The small closeknit community. The DUrberville Hall and how much its used.</p>
WS 105	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Shops aren't brilliant and are expensive in Wool. Character of the are isn't strong - design of the buildings should be in keeping with the rest of Dorset. Difficulty getting GP appointments. Lack of affordable housing.</p>
WS 105	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Well designed new housing. A cafe and centralised area for community involvement. Area where GPS want to come and live and can afford to live.</p>
WS 105	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Haphazardly designed buildings, lack of awareness and sensitivity of the nearby area of outstanding natural beauty, more lack of GP's.</p>
WS 106	<i>LIKE</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Public footpaths in all directions accessible by a walk of a few minutes from my house. 2. The range of habitats surrounding Wool : woodlands, water meadows, organically managed rough grazing for sheep, organic wildflower/oats mix fodder crops, the R Frome ecosystem. 3. That it is a safe, local community supporting army personnel (from both Bovington and E Lulworth) as they support us in the wider world. 4. The tranquil beauty of the R Frome. (J Constable would have agreed.)

1. Being the butt of a) Weld's/Savill's plans to turn Wool (Village) into a town published in 2005

of the b) PLP draft resulting from a biased and restricted choice consultation whole of Purbeck.

c) The absence of consideration of the population of Wool Parish, sustainability issues, and the environment.

d) The control that Lulworth Estates/Savills seem to have over PDC now DC,

English Nature (particularly Nick Squirrel), Wessex Water.

2. Resulting from the Weld/ Savills Barratts Estate development, despite that they were advised of the following issues: a) the loss of skylarks and slow worms from the area

the b) the frequent sewage flooding at the lower end of Baileys Drove as

to sewage from the whole Barratts Estate was permitted to be joined

the existing Frome Ave/Baileys Drove sewer.

the c) the surface water drainage problems experienced by residents on

Barratts estate.

3. The increasing traffic on A352 and resulting extended waits at the road/rail crossing.

WS 106	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>1. Small scale development of affordable or community housing for truly local people that would conform to a) Mr Gove's 2015 policies on the environment; b) Mr Brokenshire's 2019 guidance for making room for wildlife in developments c) the planned for 2025? carbon zero housing standards.</p> <p>2. A stronger, across the parish, community spirit with a focus on sustainability, alternative energy, environment, sharing skills etc . (See Machynlleth N Wales.)</p> <p>3. The return of our Police Station in some form.</p> <p>4. A hydrotherapy and aquatic sports centre to serve the needs of both people (young and old) and extend the facilities provided by our two local vets.</p> <p>If the above points were adopted, then Wool parish might be included rather than excluded in the considerations of an East Devon and Dorset National Park, with resulting increased tourism and protection against destructive planning.</p>
WS 106	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>1. The proposed 470+ houses and a 65 resident care home (the latter imposed without consultation) because:</p> <p>a) there are no jobs, facilities, infrastructure here sufficient to provide for the needs of 1050+ extra adults and 1000+ extra children/teenagers AND none are included in the proposals. (The suggestion that the technology centre will provide the jobs is naive as highly qualified staff there commute from as far as Winchester.)</p> <p>b) The proposed location of the new dwellings is at the opposite (west) end of Wool and furthest from: the 3 primary schools, the library, the Doctors surgery, 3 churches, the youth club, the railway station etc. This makes nonsense if the country is to achieve a carbon neutral future and will exacerbate the existing traffic congestion and "rat-runs" in Wool parish.</p>
WS 107	<i>LIKE</i>	Rural setting and not over developed
WS 107	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Inadequate bus service
WS 107	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	More social activities
WS 107	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Over development especially on farmland
WS 108	<i>LIKE</i>	Lovely people, great scenery. Good schools
WS 108	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	No buses, no supermarket.

WS 108

WOULD LIKE

Supermarket, gym, public toilets

WS 108

WOULDN'T LIKE

More housing for old people.

WS 109

LIKE

As someone whom has been lucky to have been born / lived here in Wool for 51 years, I firstly like the village greatly as I grew up here. I have also worked in Bovington previously for 23 years, so offer the following observations: I like the rural setting, the open-green fields, the abundance of rare wildlife we seem to have. I also like the clean air we enjoy, the beautiful nighttime sky (being an Astronomer, the turning off of streetlighting at night was welcomed by me). We are all fortunate to be living in such a beautiful area, one that should be protected, and anyone whom thinks it "boring" should go and spend the weekend up in London - that will make you truly appreciate living in this area. I like the fact also that crime, as a whole, is rare here, as vandalism / violence when compared to other areas of the UK. I like the fact we still have local shops, but more about that below in the next question. I like the fact we have a railway station that is perfectly setup for journeys to Wareham, Poole, Weymouth, Dorchester, etc. That too, should continue and never be threatened. I like Bovington and East Burton too, mainly for the beautiful open fields, wildlife and the ability now to be able to go for long walks on the paths now provided. I also like the fact we still have playing fields in Wool, and that they haven't been sold off or built on; this is good for encouraging people to do sports, fetes, etc.

WS 109

DON'T LIKE

Firstly, my main complaint about the area is the severe lack of other shops / businesses. Wool, for example, still only has TWO main shops in the village after 50 years of my life. Worse, despite providing vital local shopping, their pricing of things has leapt massively in the last few years, whilst the selection of things available instore in one case especially has dwindled since their so-called "refurb". Wool is expanding, like it or not, turning from a village into a small town, with a greater influx of people from outside. Housing is being planned, built - but where are the additional support services? The two main shops in Wool are struggling to survive with the current population, and inflating prices with WAT (Wool Added Tax) is not going to encourage others or myself to spend locally. The Doctor's Surgery too is currently struggling to operate properly with the current area population, so what will it be like in say 40 years from now? Build Houses if you must, yes, but also plan more support structuring and don't think everyone has a car or can get onto a train to go weekly shopping. I know a lot of people order stuff and get it delivered, but surely that only increases the road traffic in the area, and is bad for our environment? The area also needs decent carparking facilities, as the number of vehicles parking illegally on main roads, esp in Wool, is getting silly now, seemingly with no local enforcement. The Durberville Centre parking on a Saturday morning now regularly overflows all the way up the main road, as people basically park wherever they like now. If they are building new homes, please remember the fact that the average house now has 3+ cars, not 1, so provide adequate space when building them to accommodate this. Then it might just encourage people to walk down to the Centre, and leave the "Tank" parked at home. Finally local grounds maintenance needs to be brought back to a decent level, as many of the areas roads, pavements etc. are very very unkempt and scruffy these days, and the severe lack of decently located cashpoint ATM's in the area as a whole. There also seems to be too much of an issue locally of "whom does what, is it our area of responsibility", etc - cut the local red tape and excuses: just get on with things, don't be so inefficient

WS 109

WOULD LIKE

I would firstly like to see a local "big store" based here in Wool, for the area, something like Sainsbury's or Co-Op in Wareham. We desperately need a locally - based big store to shake up the area competition here, as the two shops currently charge ridiculous prices and need something to give them a kick up the backside to be more competitive. Support local shops yes, but only as long as they provide the things the people need. Something like a Tesco Metro would be ideal based at Purbeck Gate, and I for one would regularly walk up to use it. With an increasing population, the area needs more shops definitely. We also need decent and regular / reliable local transport between Wool - Lulworth and Wool - Bovington, as none currently seems to exist. As before, I would also like to see a decent and fairly - operated carparking system available for the community, as the illegal parking issue is only going to get worse in the future. I think having more locally -based facilities, like Wareham, would be a good model for the future. We should fight to preserve our local First Schools too, and not let them be put under threat of closure. I would like also to see more co-operation between Wool, Bovington and East Burton, to maybe share the costs of projects, grounds maintenance, etc locally.

WS 109

WOULDN'T LIKE

I wouldn't want to see the area bow so easily to outside pressures to build this and that here in the Purbecks; we are not the overspill for the Nation's problems. We are lucky to live and thrive in an area of outstanding natural beauty, and this should be preserved for today and tomorrow's generations to enjoy, whatever the cost. So, No to mass housing at the cost of the environment and wildlife. No to second homes here just for the rich, and not local people whom have to move out of the area. No to unaffordable housing, just greedy firms out to make a quick profit. Build more local housing, yes, but not at the cost of the area. Otherwise a lot of the local villages here will be lost and become towns instead at the cost of what generations past fought hard and sacrificed to build in the first place.

WS 110

LIKE

They have small village feel but have essential facilities.

WS 110

DON'T LIKE

The amount of fast through traffic and also the level crossing at wool. It takes way too long and the queueing traffic is horrendous. I also don't like the fact that medical facilities are very poor.

WS 110	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	a better doctor's surgery or minor injuries unit. Better road layout or restrictions. More money being put into schools
WS 110	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More houses. Second homes. More traffic.
WS 111	<i>LIKE</i>	Good transport links, choice of schools, local butcher, baker, newsagent, chemist. Dr surgery in village and community hub within kids of wool
WS 111	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Lack of neighbourhoid watch/local policing around evenings
WS 111	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Nursery attached to a school And school buildings fit for primary school curriculum (eg kitchens to make own meals)
WS 111	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More houses! Need to keep village feel and look after/update facilities we have (park, schools, skatepark)
WS 112	<i>LIKE</i>	I like that the village still has a Smallvillage feel Not a small town. Everyone knows everybody
WS 112	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The gp surgery need more GPS We need better shops
WS 112	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	More shops
WS 112	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More houses
WS 113	<i>LIKE</i>	The size, not too big or too small. The range of businesses available ie. grocery shops, butchers, bakers, garages, hairdressers, dentist, doctors, chemist etc.
WS 113	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Lack of pride taken by individuals and the council. ie. overgrown hedges encroaching onto the footpaths, scruffy poorly maintained verges. Poorly maintained tarmac footpaths, especially East Burton road (can this latest construction effort actually be called a footpath) Massive queues at the crossing gates.

- WS 113** **WOULD LIKE** Some pride in the village. A bit more hedge and verge cutting would improve the image considerably. Not a big expense considering the cost of the cycle path and signage and that is rarely used. Why build cycleways if there is no finance to maintain them.
Clear the overgrown footpath to Bovington to make the full width suitable for pedestrians and cyclists.
A bypass from Winfrith roundabout to avoid the railway crossing.
Public toilets at the station and more parking for commuters.
- WS 113** **WOULDN'T LIKE** Any more housing other than those which are currently agreed.
Any development the other side of the Giddy Green road.
- WS 114** **LIKE** Wool is a proper "village" community - too many new houses would make it a "small town" and would alter the "feel" of the community. Wool feels a safe place to live - for families and the elderly.
- Good local shops and businesses - although more food shops would be useful, so villagers don't feel the need to do their weekly shop elsewhere in the county, and more employment in the village for young families.
- A good mix of mostly low-rise houses of all sizes for local people of all ages. The only "high"-rise (ie more than two-storey) housing seems to be on Purbeck Gate - they seem out of character with the rest of the village.
- A "clean" environment surrounded by lovely countryside, and, with the exception of A352 main road, relatively free of noise and toxic smells pollution.

WS 114

DON'T LIKE

The level crossing and the consequent traffic queues and delays.

The bus service has deteriorated in recent years. Now most services do not travel along the A352, so making it too far to walk to the bus stop at the railway station for people who have limited mobility. (I don't use the bus now as I don't like the long 0.6 mile walk to and back from the railway station stop.) New houses to the west of Wool would be even further from the bus stop, and so would probably mean more traffic (and consequent pollution) in the area.

Wool has a poor and antiquated drainage system; this needs urgent revision and rebuilding with the current level of population to stop flooding in Wool. Any additional housing would put the existing system beyond breaking point. Remember Wool means "well"; the ground water table level is high, and even higher after heavy rain.

WS 114

WOULD LIKE

New low-rise housing would be beneficial only if it was truly affordable starter homes for local youngsters, and bungalows for older residents, so they can downsize from their current family houses, thus freeing them up for local families.

Any new housing should be sustainable, low-carbon buildings, well-insulated and with solar panels, etc, (eg: similar to the Norwich housing scheme that won the 2019 Stirling prize). Maybe even some have covenants so that they can only be used by local Dorset residents and workers.

New green spaces and more trees to absorb pollution and enhance the environment. A green buffer zone should exist between new and existing housing, incorporating a wildlife corridor, a rewilding scheme, and even a new drainage system to stop existing houses/gardens flooding.

A by-pass for Wool and a bridge over the railway on the outskirts of the village to alleviate traffic queues and pollution in the village.

WS 114	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Any new large private houses which could be, or even end up being, used as second homes for people from outside the area.
		Additional housing should be for local people - families, workers, young and old.
		Any high-rise buildings (ie no more than two-storey). It should be remembered that the ground level of the fields to the west of Wool are higher land than the neighbouring houses on current western edge of the village, and could dominate this end of Wool and even cut the light level in the existing houses.
		Just new houses; we also need places of employment - more places for young people to work so they don't move out of the area. Don't make Wool just a dormitory for large urban areas.
WS 115	<i>LIKE</i>	Station, shops and pubs
WS 115	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Speed of traffic through village
WS 115	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Foot path along Lulworth Road and across surrounding fields
WS 115	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Better doctors surgery
WS 116	<i>LIKE</i>	Wool is a small, friendly village with a low crime rate.
WS 116	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	The inadequate public (road) transport. The poor standard of the Purbeck Gate housing design; three storey dwellings are out of character with the rest of the village. Planning permission should not have been granted. Delays caused by the level crossing - sometimes being closed for as much as nine minutes to allow for both up and down trains - could have very serious serious results if emergency vehicles were held up.
WS 116	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	A by-pass, or bridge over the railway, to alleviate the ever increasing delays (and pollution) caused by the level crossing closures. Any development on the west side of the village must incorporate a minimum 10 metre barrier between the development and existing rear garden fences as a thoroughfare for wildlife, and should include an arboreal barrier.

- WS 116** **WOULDN'T LIKE** An increase in population without a concomitant increase in educational and medical services, and without updating the village's infrastructure.
I wouldn't wish to see the drainage system remaining in its present parlous state if any development were to take place.
- WS 117** **LIKE** I currently like the way we have a village feel but are nearly the size of a town. I like the train service we have and i like the shops. The village green is good too and the fact we have lots of walks to go on around the parish. I like the friendly atmosphere we have and that everyone knows your business. I also like the fact we have a number of pubs too and just one.
- WS 117** **DONT LIKE** I don't like the fact that their isn't enough places to play sport especially in the winter, we don't have any buses again not in the winter.
we are becoming a seaside town without the sea so everything booming in summer and dead in the winter.
not enough local shops or businesses.
- WS 117** **WOULD LIKE** more sports facilities especially in the winter.
more buses.
fire station
better railway times on crossing not such a long wait.
better road system thro the village.
more fun stuff at bovington.
A bank.
- WS 117** **WOULDN'T LIKE** sorry to say it but more housing.
i know we need to build some but we are going to be massive and will lose that lovely feeling of being in a village.
-nursing home

WS 118	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>The community. The ruralness of the area without being in the middle of nowhere. It is clean, there is very little litter along the roadside.</p> <p>All areas are easily accessible, i.e parking for shops and schools.</p> <p>There is virtually no crime and no vandalism that I have seen seen</p> <p>I have lived here 10 years and wouldn't want to live anywhere else.</p>
WS 118	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>I don't like that there the park was removed from Morris Road with the proposed intent of refurbishing it. However after approx 5 years it still hasn't been replaced or refurbished. It is now just an area where people let their dogs poo!</p>
WS 118	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>I would love to see a proper supermarket in Wool, on the very edge of the village. Maybe an Aldi or Lidl. I am disabled and would love a supermarket locally so that I don't have to plan for a full day out. All the shops and convenience store in Wool and Bovington are too expensive for people on small pensions</p>
WS 118	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	
WS 119	<i>LIKE</i>	
WS 119	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	
WS 119	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>More places for children</p> <p>Supermarker (Aldi or Lidl)</p>
WS 119	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>To many new houses</p>
WS 120	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>It's quietness, easy access to infrastructure; schools, doctors etc..</p> <p>Rolling countryside, beautiful undisturbed views. Farming community, local feel.</p>
WS 120	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>The train crossing, congestion becoming more frequent. Willingness to sell off areas of natural beauty for a quick profit.</p>
WS 120	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>More activities for children to prevent boredom and misbehaviour. Redevelopment of the boots area shops. Any new development to be discreet and in keeping with the local area. Any new housing development to be the same and preferably utilizing the winfrith dead land.</p>

WS 120 **WOULDN'T LIKE** Large estates skylining the aforementioned natural beauty, stretched infrastructure, traffic jams

WS 121 **LIKE** Village that is big enough to have a few shops but not too big.

Love that the kids now have a decent play park. Good to see families spending time there.

Generally not too much litter.

Many clubs and groups that if you are prepared to join in no need to be lonely.

Lucky that we have 2 supermarkets, butchers, deli, hardware, chemist and charity shops.

Good that someone has taken on board getting a good skate-park for the kids as they seem to love this activity.

Good that we allow events like the D'Urberville Dash to encourage fitness.

WS 121 **DON'T LIKE** Not enough doctors for the amount/age of residents. Many people now go straight to Weymouth walk-in centre without even trying the doctor's which is a sad state of affairs.

Road gutters need to be cleaned - for example you could grow vegetables in the edge of the roads in East Burton as so much dirt in the gutter.

The traffic management scheme (one way at a time) near the Bear pub is very confusing and doesn't work causing all sorts of traffic problems.

Drivers think that the junction near the railway is a roundabout. Drivers from Lulworth don't realise it is a give way with the main road having priority. There is going to be a serious accident there soon.

WS 121

WOULD LIKE

Permanent 30MPH electronic speed indicators at all 3 entrances to the village of Wool and both entrances to Bovington. I am sure this would help with 30MPH speed adherence.

More variety of take-away food services in Wool as we have to travel to Bovington for this.

More involvement of the Lulworth Estate in funding improvements to the road system as it is all their 100,000's of visitors that pass through our village on a daily basis.

Free parking for residents of Wool, Bovington and East Burton in the Lulworth Estate car parks. Even if just for the evening (as it used to be for everyone) would be very useful for us to get out for walking or visiting the pubs there. People say it must be lovely to live so near the coast but it is so busy and too expensive to park we can't get there!

30MPH in Bindon Lane to move further few yards to allow the last bungalow a safer exit.

Bindon Lane speed limit to be reduced to 40MPH the whole length as many horse-riders, walkers, cyclists, deer etc. No need to travel any faster.

Road white lines need attention around the whole area but especially around the butcher/hardware store junction as risky junction there if people don't understand it.

WS 121

WOULDN'T LIKE

Don't believe 20MPH is needed in the village(or at least not as far as proposed up Lulworth Road) - better option would be 30MPH electronic speed indicators at all 3 entrances to the village.

Wouldn't want more houses unless doctor and nurse recruitment to match. Also we need a better supermarket if more houses. Local infrastructure like drainage, internet access etc. would need to be dealt.

If new houses are built opposite Purbeck Gate then drainage to ensure heavy rain water doesn't flood down Bailey's Drove is essential as that did happen when the plough lines were vertical up the hill in the past.

WS 122

LIKE

I enjoy living in a village environment, the sense of belonging and that the the transport facilities allow access to local towns and beyond. The range and number of facilities within the village are sufficient. The schools are not overcrowded.

WS 122

DON'T LIKE

The volume of traffic using Dorchester Road and particularly that the speed at which many of the vehicles exceed the speed limit. The speed limit is 30mph, however drivers are only advised of this before the level crossing when approaching from the East and by the roundabout before Purbeck Gate when approaching from the West. There is no further signage through the village to act as a reminder. There is only a limited amount that police enforcement can do so other methods could be considered. There is only one designated crossing point by the convenience hardware store and noting at the other end of the village. The Ship Inn and both the Catholic Church and St Mary's and St Joseph's School are situated close together and within the last few years the new railway bridge has been constructed. The pub allows the church congregation to use their car park. Consider a road crossing at Eastern end of the village to facilitate a safe passage for an increased number of those wishing to cross.

The volume of queueing traffic has increased when the level crossing is closed to allow the trains through. Drivers do not turn off the engines whilst waiting which can now be for some considerable time. Additionally there is no 'Keep Clear' signage painted on the road outside Cedar Close meaning that frequently access to Cedar Close is blocked by the queuing traffic.

Would like in the Parish: As per earlier comments a method of safely crossing Dorchester Road at the Eastern end of the village.

WS 122

WOULD LIKE

As per earlier comments a method of safely crossing Dorchester Road at the Eastern end of the village.

WS 122	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Additional housing development within the village where the need the need for that housing has not been clearly established in the numbers that have been proposed. Even more traffic on an already busy road without further provision for getting across Dorchester Road with longer queues of cars with idling engines waiting for the level crossing to open. The numbers of people in the village will increase by in excess of 1500 and there does not appear to be a proposal to improve the infrastructure in any meaningful way. The already overstretched surgery who are already struggling to recruit new GP's will become less likely to cope. The schools do not have the capacity to expand without encroaching on the already limited playground or playing field provisions. The feel that Wool is a village will disappear forever. Purbeck Gate was supposed to be the limit of the expansion. Further development on the proposed scale leaves me in little doubt that in a another 5 or 10 years there will be a proposal for even more housing. Where will it end? If the same or different landowner is prepared to sell their land will it not be seen as another opportunity to build more houses?</p>
WS 123	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Railway / Bus Links Choice of excellent schools Public Library Play parks Doctors Surgery Convenience stores including Post Office and Boots Pharmacy Access to nature and river walks Wildlife diversity</p>
WS 123	<i>DONT LIKE</i>	<p>Speeding traffic Lack of pavements especially along Lulworth and East Burton Roads Train crossing junction - extremely confusing for non-residents, making it dangerous and causing accidents Litter, especially around the D'Urbeville Centre, playing field and skate park Youths joyriding on loud mopeds around the streets</p>
WS 123	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Pavements along Lulworth Road Traffic calming along Lulworth Road, essential to stop speeding traffic</p>

WS 123	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>More development - resulting in the area becoming heavily congested and causing the infrastructure to collapse</p> <p>Overburdened schools and doctors surgery</p> <p>Degradation of the natural environment</p>
WS 124	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Railway / Bus Links</p> <p>Choice of excellent schools</p> <p>Public Library</p> <p>Play parks</p> <p>Doctors Surgery</p> <p>Convenience stores including Post Office and Boots Pharmacy</p> <p>Access to nature and river walks</p> <p>Wildlife diversity</p>
WS 124	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Speeding traffic</p> <p>Lack of pavements especially along Lulworth and East Burton Roads</p> <p>Train crossing junction - extremely confusing for non-residents, making it dangerous and causing accidents</p> <p>Litter, especially around the D'Urbeville Centre, playing field and skate park</p> <p>Youths joyriding on their loud mopeds around the streets</p>
WS 124	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Pavements along Lulworth Road</p> <p>Traffic calming along Lulworth Road, essential to stop speeding traffic</p>
WS 124	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>More development - resulting in the area becoming heavily congested and causing the infrastructure to collapse</p> <p>Overburdened schools and doctors surgery</p> <p>Degradation of the natural environment</p>
WS 125	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>wool is a friendly village with good access to lots of beautiful countryside. Good pubs, local shops and the village hall is a great asset for the community</p>
WS 125	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Dog poo</p>

WS 125	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	An increase in the spend on Wool. It is supposed to be a Key Support Village but doesn't get the investment that say, Wareham does. Or that's how it seems to me I'm enjoying the improvements to the village hall and would like to see that continue if we have more houses (we don't need) then we will need to spend more money on hall, infrastructure etc A bank A bypass
WS 125	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Wool turned into a town (even worse a commuter town) Unnecessary houses that won't help locals A supermarket
WS 126	<i>LIKE</i>	It's small and friendly
WS 126	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Nothing in bovington for kids! Can't get a Dr appt Too many houses being built
WS 126	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	More for kids. Play park in Bovy
WS 126	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More houses being built
WS 127	<i>LIKE</i>	Community feel, helpful neighbour's, apart from one.
WS 127	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	No BT sports in any pubs apart from the legion and awful bin collections
WS 127	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	a neighborhood watch program/vigilantes
WS 127	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Underage drinking and weed smoking. Chavs on scooters/mopeds
WS 128	<i>LIKE</i>	Small friendly villages with good transport links
WS 128	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Over priced houses and a lack of facilities for young people
WS 128	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	Out door gym equipment Splash park for children
WS 128	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	Too many houses that aren't affordable for local people
WS 129	<i>LIKE</i>	Still has rural charm Mostly friendly people
WS 129	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Weld Estate has far too much influence Level Crossing gates are closed too long Width restrictions on High Street/ Station Road - pointless and dangerous Too many streetlights - do they really need to be on at 5am?

WS 129	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>If more housing is required - it should be more in-keeping with the village location, houses with bit of character. The new estate in Wareham, Sherren Cottages opposite Black Dog in Broadmayne - both new estates with decent looking houses. Wide verges too - in-keeping with rural location</p> <p>All weather games area at the playing field (MUGA) Skate Park/ outdoor gym Pavement/ walkway to Monkey Park - just a matter of time before pedestrian gets killed Better chip shop Full width pavement on South side of East Burton Road past carwash and old dairy up to corner by new cottages Pedestrian crossing over Wareham Road by Station Garage</p>
WS 129	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>Another Purbeck Gate style development Holiday/ second homes - kills villages and communities.</p>
WS 130	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>The friendly village atmosphere.</p>
WS 130	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Plans to build new houses without consideration for the existing infrastructure such as schools, roads and traffic pollution etc.</p> <p>Dog walkers not cleaning up their dog mess!!!</p> <p>Speeding motorists through the villages.</p> <p>Bus services are very poor!!!</p>
WS 130	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>Suitable pathways for pedestrians and cyclists and improved facilities for youths!</p> <p>More buses!!</p>
WS 130	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>We don't need or want loads of new houses. A select few is fine but not at the level proposed.</p> <p>Better services for the elderly.</p>

WS 131	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>Small community lifestyle</p> <p>Great schools</p> <p>Local amenities</p> <p>Local walks on ur door step</p> <p>Easy commutes to many beauty spots</p>
WS 131	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	<p>Train crossing</p> <p>Schools are not big enough or have enough parking for parents meaning congestion on side roads with alot of cars</p> <p>Parish greens are not maintained regular enough meaning residents in our road are maintaining themselves.</p> <p>Footpaths and pot holes needs doing</p> <p>Skate park needs updating or more for kids</p>
WS 131	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	<p>More areas for children</p> <p>Activities</p> <p>Flower boxes on the main three entrances saying "welcome to wool"</p> <p>Regular maintaining of greens -roads</p>
WS 131	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	<p>More traffic</p> <p>More housing will mean bigger pressures on the community, schools,amenities ie doctors.</p> <p>Change to the small community</p>

WS 132

LIKE

Although it is changing this is a community where people have deep roots, where several generations have lived and where there is a strong sense of community. The Care and Share Scheme is a great example of community service.

I only moved to Wool fairly recently and I like the fact that it is rural yet with most of the facilities that people need. I like the good transport links too although the level crossing can be a pain!

I like the fact that you can get to meet people and that there are opportunities such as the Country Market in Wool to engage with other people. Things like Wool Carnival are a good idea too although I'd suggest that some of these things need updating and bringing into the 21st century.

WS 132

DON'T LIKE

There are some divisions in the community particularly between some of the long term residents and those who have recently moved into areas such as Purbeck Gate. It is also the case that some residents regard themselves as residents of part of the parish, say Bovington, rather than being a member of the whole parish.

I don't like the fact that there isn't a supermarket or gym here although I realise these aren't too far away. Perhaps these could be planned in any new development.

I don't think it is unique to the parish but not enough people come forward to serve the community, often it falls to the same few people.

I don't like the fact that the parish church is in a location that is almost inaccessible for those who want to attend.

- WS 132** **WOULD LIKE** I'd like to see a very good village Community Centre that can give people a real focal point for community events. The D'Urberville Centre is improving all of the time and people are working very hard but there is still a way to go.
More leisure facilities.
The church in Wool is active in community service and it would be good to have a more central building or shared building.
I'm personally very happy for new homes to be built, people need homes. However, I think infrastructure must be in place too. Lots of new developments include a small supermarket within them and other facilities too- can we have this too?
A new modern building with good facilities for Wool CE VA School would be excellent.
More opportunities for older people to meet for social activities and friendship would be good and help with loneliness and isolation.
A foodbank and community fridge.
- WS 132** **WOULDN'T LIKE** We do need good facilities but I do also think we can improve and/or extend some of the things that we already have to give better provision rather necessarily doubling up and perhaps having things that people don't use.
I believe good care of older people is essential but although there isn't a care home in the parish I'm not convinced with the available provision in Wareham, Sandford and Dorchester that one is needed in Wool, certainly not a large one anyway.
Lots more development without the necessary facilities and infrastructure to support it.
- WS 133** **LIKE** The relative peace and the countryside.
Transport links via the train, when it is running properly.
The community feel.
- WS 133** **DON'T LIKE** The threat of lots of building work. It is a shame to destroy the openness of the village with more housing.
- WS 133** **WOULD LIKE** More places for young people to safely hang out, maybe a coffee shop!
A recycling centre, there were bins by the recreation ground, but they have disappeared.
A bank!
- WS 133** **WOULDN'T LIKE** I'm not sure how to answer this! Nobody wants to see a riot, or graffiti, but I'm sure that isn't what this question means!

WS 134	<i>LIKE</i>	Purbeck Gate is a lovely place to live. A good community feel and a little 'younger' than the rest of the village. Don't know much about Bovington but East Burton looks very picturesque. Good schools
WS 134	<i>DON'T LIKE</i>	Not much to dislike. Rail crossing gates are irritating
WS 134	<i>WOULD LIKE</i>	More for young people to do. Skatepark? More investment in Youth Club. Something for young adults. A snooker club?
WS 134	<i>WOULDN'T LIKE</i>	More houses. More traffic. A supermarket
WS 135	<i>LIKE</i>	<p>I love the fact the Parish is made up of villages in a rural setting surrounded by countryside - it takes minutes to be out in the open on footpaths that are well used.</p> <p>I love that Wool is a friendly village and there is a strong sense of community. It seems to be the same for the other villages too.</p> <p>Wool has everything you need: doctors, chemist, convenience shops, post office, pubs, cafe and various small businesses. Dorchester or Poole are nearby, and accessible by public transport, for those needing more.</p>

WS 135

DON'T LIKE

Speeding! As Wool is a thru route for many the 30mph is regularly ignored particularly at the edges of the village. I appreciate the efforts of Parish Council with installation of a SID, just wish more could be done permanently.

The way many look down their noses at the residents of Purbeck Gate. The development may not have been wanted by the Parish but that doesn't make us residents any less important to the village, determine our character or what many of us do for the community. I hope this attitude is not continued against the residents of new developments.

That the developers of Purbeck Gate have still not raised the estate to a standard that DCC can adopt. This is totally ridiculous after so much time!

Footpaths need to be taken care of and be more joined up - many just seem to stop. It would be really good to join the villages together with well defined and serviced footpaths, particularly between Wool/East Burton and Bovington, particularly the Cologne Road area. Very limited housing supply for single people or couples, particularly those starting out on the property ladder.

Planning policy has not been kind to the Parish and buildings are a mish mash of whatever was thought 'good' at the time with no thought of cohesion.

The flooding of all roads in rainy weather.

WS 135

WOULD LIKE

A comprehensive footpath network that is well cared for.

Sensible planning policy on design of houses and estates. Houses either need to be sympathetic to the area in general or completely modern spaces - radical thinking is needed from developers. The older parts of Poundbury, particularly around Brownsword Hall, are beautiful and in keeping with the area although not enough provision was provided for cars.

Provision for cars - this is the countryside and people will use cars regardless of the trains (only go to set places) and the fact the bus service is virtually nonexistent. Parking for homes has to be included as does roadways that are suitable for parked cars, emergency vehicles and refuse collection.

Homes need to be future proofed, sustainably built and energy generation from solar or wind taken into account. Sebum or meadow roofs need to be seriously considered: if we're losing land to houses the least that can be done is to provide an alternative habitat for insects, particularly bees.

Small scale housing for single people or couples, particularly those starting out on the property ladder.

Current hedgerows on proposed development sites to remain intact. These are vital corridors for wildlife and help absorb water during in heavy rain. Destroying them and growing from scratch is foolish.

WS 135

WOULDN'T LIKE

Anything that goes towards making our villages into towns! For example high rise builds, supermarkets.

Large housing above 3 bedrooms. Wool has a high proportion of homes above 3 bedrooms that people can not afford so building more is unnecessary.

The surrounding fields all built on - let's keep building to the absolute minimum!

Housing estates that are crammed: space is needed for wellbeing, particularly green space, and for access by emergency vehicles and refuse collection.

Current accessible green spaces destroyed.

Current, well established hedgerows destroyed in favour of fencing or planting anew. These hedgerows serve as vital corridors for wildlife and absorb a lot of water during heavy rain.